```
0
00:00:00.085 --> 00:00:01.135
Well, good morning everybody.
1
00:00:01.155 --> 00:00:02.175
It, it's 10 o'clock
2
00:00:02.595 --> 00:00:06.495
and, uh, this hearing is now opening.
3
00:00:07.355 --> 00:00:08.575
Um, can everybody hear me?
4
00:00:08.575 --> 00:00:12.175
Can I in the room and I know we've got somebody online,
5
00:00:13.235 --> 00:00:15.335
is the live stream and the connection working?
6
00:00:17.455 --> 00:00:17.675
Yep.
7
00:00:23.615 --> 00:00:25.315
Um, I'd like to welcome everybody, uh,
8
00:00:25.535 --> 00:00:28.675
to this issue specific hearing six, uh,
9
00:00:28.675 --> 00:00:30.275
which concerns the application made
10
00:00:30.455 --> 00:00:32.035
by associated British ports
11
00:00:32.575 --> 00:00:34.155
for an order granting development consent
12
00:00:34.155 --> 00:00:36.755
for the proposed IMMINGHAM East Railroad terminal.
```

```
13
00:00:37.695 --> 00:00:41.355
Uh, this hearing is expressly dealing with
14
00:00:41.905 --> 00:00:45.715
matters are, uh, pertaining to the draft, uh,
15
00:00:45.715 --> 00:00:46.875
development consent order.
16
00:00:50.575 --> 00:00:52.995
Um, my name is Graham Gord, I'm Charter Town Planner,
17
00:00:53.055 --> 00:00:55.075
and as you've probably gathered, I'm the lead panel
18
00:00:55.135 --> 00:00:56.235
member for this exam.
1 9
00:00:56.355 --> 00:00:58.395
Authority to my left is Mr. Bradley,
20
00:00:58.495 --> 00:01:00.155
to my right is Mr. Harrison.
21
00:01:01.055 --> 00:01:03.915
Um, we have nearly broken, uh, Mr.
22
00:01:03.945 --> 00:01:05.555
Bradley's voice, so, um,
23
00:01:05.695 --> 00:01:07.795
he probably will not be partaking much this morning.
24
00:01:16.115 --> 00:01:20.075
I think most people are pretty familiar with the process,
25
00:01:20.895 --> 00:01:22.795
and you've heard me do a num well,
26
00:01:22.895 --> 00:01:25.275
```

```
us collectively do a number of intros.
2 7
00:01:25.655 --> 00:01:28.315
So I'm gonna keep this one pretty, uh, brief.
28
00:01:29.495 --> 00:01:33.995
Um, I should have said that, uh,
29
00:01:34.095 --> 00:01:36.155
Ms. Robbins, um, is the case manager
30
00:01:37.055 --> 00:01:39.915
who you all doubt all no doubt, aware of.
31
00:01:40.375 --> 00:01:41.475
Uh, and also of course,
32
00:01:41.485 --> 00:01:44.195
there are the two technicians from Spark who are assisting
33
00:01:44.195 --> 00:01:47.515
with the audio, visuals, audio visual side of things,
34
00:01:47.775 --> 00:01:49.395
and live streaming.
35
00:01:49.575 --> 00:01:52.915
Um, and ultimately will be helping produce the
36
00:01:52.915 --> 00:01:54.395
recordings for this, uh, hearing.
37
00:01:55.375 --> 00:01:57.315
Um, to my right are toilets.
38
00:01:58.215 --> 00:02:01.675
If for any reason a fire alarm sounds, uh, we will need to
39
00:02:02.795 --> 00:02:04.515
vacate the building, go to the carpark,
```

```
4 0
00:02:04.815 --> 00:02:07.115
and then await instructions from the hotel staff as
4 1
00:02:07.115 --> 00:02:09.595
to when it's safe to return to the building.
4 2
00:02:12.635 --> 00:02:16.255
Um, this hearing will predominantly be in person within the
4 3
00:02:16.255 --> 00:02:19.135
room, although I understand the applicant has got one member
4 4
00:02:19.135 --> 00:02:23.055
of its team potentially available if needs be, um,
4 5
00:02:24.285 --> 00:02:26.855
that that person can be brought in, um, as, as
4 6
00:02:26.855 --> 00:02:30.455
or when necessary, either by somebody who's in the room
4 7
00:02:31.355 --> 00:02:34.015
or, uh, if, if they can indicate raising their hand
4 8
00:02:34.015 --> 00:02:35.495
that they've got a point that they need to raise
4 9
00:02:36.395 --> 00:02:37.615
at the convenient juncture.
50
00:02:45.625 --> 00:02:49.445
Um, I would remind everybody that on each occasion, um,
5 1
00:02:49.795 --> 00:02:52.005
that you, you speak, could you give your name
5 2
00:02:52.005 --> 00:02:53.045
and who you are representing.
5 3
00:02:54.585 --> 00:02:57.365
```

```
Um, I suspect this is not gonna apply today,
5 4
00:02:57.365 --> 00:03:00.085
but there is a roving mic in the room just in case we need
5 5
00:03:00.465 --> 00:03:02.925
to bring somebody in who can't sit, uh,
56
00:03:03.025 --> 00:03:04.365
at one of the tables.
5 7
00:03:06.385 --> 00:03:09.085
Um, general reminder again about the general, uh,
5 8
00:03:10.115 --> 00:03:11.525
data protection regulations.
59
00:03:11.975 --> 00:03:14.085
Again, I doubt that's gonna apply too much,
6 0
00:03:14.145 --> 00:03:16.565
but just be wary of what you are saying in public in terms
6 1
00:03:16.865 --> 00:03:20.445
of, of avoiding saying anything that you would not wish, uh,
6 2
00:03:20.505 --> 00:03:22.605
to be published as part of a recording.
6 3
00:03:24.065 --> 00:03:27.885
Um, again, the general comment, particularly in terms
6 4
00:03:27.885 --> 00:03:31.925
of anything to do with iot, again, just be wary of anything
6 5
00:03:31.925 --> 00:03:34.645
that may raise a safety concern that we, we not
6 6
00:03:34.645 --> 00:03:36.725
to be talking too much about in public.
```

```
6 7
00:03:37.505 --> 00:03:41.485
Um, from that, I mean an operational safety, uh, matter
6 8
00:03:41.585 --> 00:03:43.045
for iot in particular.
6 9
00:03:53.185 --> 00:03:57.955
Alright, turning to, um, participation,
70
00:03:58.175 --> 00:04:03.035
uh, from the applicant's side, who is likely
71
00:04:03.135 --> 00:04:05.555
to be presenting in this, this session?
72
00:04:09.605 --> 00:04:12.735
Good morning, sir. James Strong for the applicant.
7 3
00:04:13.075 --> 00:04:17.695
Um, I, um, will be acting on behalf of the applicant
74
00:04:18.225 --> 00:04:21.175
today, um, of King's Council, instructed by Mr.
75
00:04:21.185 --> 00:04:22.935
Brian Greenwood. Excuse me.
76
00:04:22.935 --> 00:04:26.775
It sounds like my voice is going, um, instructed by Mr.
7 7
00:04:26.775 --> 00:04:29.215
Brian Greenwood, who sits to my left of Clyde and Co.
78
00:04:29.715 --> 00:04:34.055
Uh, who will also be participating in the discussions today.
7 9
00:04:35.235 --> 00:04:38.205
And Jamie Oton,
80
00:04:38.345 --> 00:04:42.205
```

who you've also previously heard, um, from in the past

```
81
00:04:43.315 --> 00:04:46.285
dealing with environmental matters is sitting
82
00:04:47.235 --> 00:04:51.125
further down the table who may assist you in relation to
83
00:04:51.715 --> 00:04:55.085
environmental matters, natural England and the MMA
84
00:05:08.445 --> 00:05:11.695
Good morning says, my name's Victoria Hotten of council.
85
00:05:12.415 --> 00:05:14.415
I appear for the Harbor Master for the Humber.
86
00:05:14.635 --> 00:05:17.375
I'm instructed by Mrs. Jane Wakeham on my left.
87
00:05:17.875 --> 00:05:20.895
And Captain Furman is on my right. Thank you.
88
00:05:24.735 --> 00:05:28.035
And for the council, uh, yes. Good morning, sir.
89
00:05:28.035 --> 00:05:32.035
Richard Limmer from Northeast Links Council. Thank you.
90
00:05:32.495 --> 00:05:36.355
Um, IO ot, uh,
91
00:05:36.355 --> 00:05:38.035
David Alvin King's Council.
92
00:05:38.495 --> 00:05:40.835
Uh, to my left, my instructing solicitor,
93
00:05:40.835 --> 00:05:44.635
Alex Ick from Burgess Salmon and behind, uh, Matt Daley
```

```
94
00:05:44.635 --> 00:05:45.635
and Ollie Smith from
95
00:05:45.755 --> 00:05:50.755
A-P-T-C-D-N.
96
00:05:54.675 --> 00:05:56.775
Uh, good morning, sir. Um, I'm robo in
97
00:05:56.775 --> 00:05:58.445
Partner of Pinton Masons.
98
00:05:58.865 --> 00:06:01.085
I'm here attending on behalf of CLD nm,
99
00:06:01.275 --> 00:06:03.485
alongside my colleague sitting to my right, Mr.
100
00:06:03.515 --> 00:06:08.075
Alex Trein, also of Pinton Masons. Thank you
101
00:06:09.055 --> 00:06:10.275
And d ft s.
102
00:06:10.905 --> 00:06:12.675
Good morning, sir. My name is Angus Walker.
1 0 3
00:06:12.855 --> 00:06:14.595
I'm a partner at PDB Pittmans
104
00:06:14.935 --> 00:06:18.235
and I'm here representing DFDS Seaways.
105
00:06:19.135 --> 00:06:21.995
Um, on my right is my colleague Jessica Hobbs.
106
00:06:36.125 --> 00:06:39.425
Is there anybody else in the room not sitting at the table
107
00:06:39.975 --> 00:06:42.265
```

```
from any other party that may wish
108
00:06:42.265 --> 00:06:43.785
to participate in this hearing?
109
00:06:44.085 --> 00:06:45.505
Not see any indications
110
00:06:59.905 --> 00:07:01.365
if there's a need for anybody else
111
00:07:01.365 --> 00:07:04.445
that hasn't been introduced at this point, uh,
112
00:07:04.545 --> 00:07:06.365
who is present, uh, to talk
113
00:07:06.365 --> 00:07:07.685
to a particular matter, that's fine.
114
00:07:07.685 --> 00:07:10.085
They'll just need to be introduced at the relevant time.
115
00:07:11.875 --> 00:07:16.725
Okay, I'm gonna pass over to Mr. Harrison
116
00:07:16.865 --> 00:07:18.245
to complete the, the intros.
117
00:07:20.055 --> 00:07:24.605
Thank you. Um, so this hearing will generally,
118
00:07:25.265 --> 00:07:27.285
um, follow the agenda
119
00:07:27.545 --> 00:07:31.325
as issued on the pins case website on
120
00:07:31.605 --> 00:07:33.005
14th of November.
```

```
121
00:07:33.905 --> 00:07:37.285
Um, it would obviously be helpful if you have copies in
122
00:07:37.285 --> 00:07:38.805
front of you, um,
1 2 3
00:07:38.985 --> 00:07:40.765
and perhaps if it could be, uh,
124
00:07:40.765 --> 00:07:42.645
displayed on the screens as well.
125
00:07:42.895 --> 00:07:46.725
Thank you. Um, you'll note the, uh,
126
00:07:46.915 --> 00:07:51.205
hearing guidance in that agenda document, uh, again
1 2 7
00:07:51.205 --> 00:07:54.885
for expediency, I'm assuming everyone has read this and
128
00:07:54.885 --> 00:07:56.205
therefore don't need to
1 2 9
00:07:56.815 --> 00:07:58.525
spend time going through it in verbatim.
1 3 0
00:07:58.825 --> 00:08:01.685
But a couple of brief elaborating remarks.
1 3 1
00:08:02.665 --> 00:08:03.845
Um, the examination
1 3 2
00:08:03.845 --> 00:08:08.405
of this nsip application is primarily conducted in written
133
00:08:08.635 --> 00:08:10.925
form as explained in detail
134
00:08:11.025 --> 00:08:15.565
```

by us at the preliminary meeting on the 25 th of July.
135
00:08:16.825 --> 00:08:19.285
Um, the purpose of today's hearing is
136
00:08:19.385 --> 00:08:22.885
for the examining authority to raise questions
137
00:08:22.975 --> 00:08:24.525
concerning the drafting
138
00:08:24.785 --> 00:08:29.525
and provisions of the draft DCO having regard
139
00:08:29.585 --> 00:08:33.725
to the amendments made, uh, to the draft DCO
140
00:08:34.525 --> 00:08:38.645
included in rep 6003
141
00:08:39.505 --> 00:08:43.045
and the written submissions made by IPS
142
00:08:43.655 --> 00:08:47.725
since the holding of ISH four.
143
00:08:48.745 --> 00:08:52.085
Um, that will help the examining authority
144
00:08:52.585 --> 00:08:57.165
to receive clarification that can be better conveyed orally
145
00:08:57.385 --> 00:08:58.685
or visually than in writing.
146
00:08:59.345 --> 00:09:02.725
And to discuss what will be required following this hearing
147
00:09:03.265 --> 00:09:07.285
in order to assist us in our understanding of the cases

```
148
00:09:07.995 --> 00:09:10.965
made by the applicant, the other ips
149
00:09:11.305 --> 00:09:12.885
and, uh, indeed
1 5 0
00:09:14.045 --> 00:09:17.005
Humber in his capacity as another person.
151
00:09:17.225 --> 00:09:19.045
For the purposes of this examination,
152
00:09:20.265 --> 00:09:23.165
our questions will be directed either to the applicant,
1 5 3
00:09:23.535 --> 00:09:26.325
other ips or the Harbor Master Humber.
154
00:09:27.025 --> 00:09:29.565
Um, with, uh, any opposing party
155
00:09:29.585 --> 00:09:32.525
or parties being given the opportunity to comment
156
00:09:33.225 --> 00:09:34.405
on the answers
157
00:09:34.965 --> 00:09:38.405
provided, uh, we may wish
158
00:09:38.505 --> 00:09:39.965
to inquire in greater
159
00:09:40.105 --> 00:09:44.085
or lesser detail than indicated, uh, on the agenda
1 6 0
00:09:45.065 --> 00:09:46.885
or inquire into new matters.
1 6 1
00:09:48.305 --> 00:09:50.325
```

Um, uh, when, uh,
162
00:09:50.665 --> 00:09:55.365
any party is answering the X a's questions, uh, again,
163
00:09:55.595 --> 00:09:59.285
same plea as ISH five, um, if you could ensure
164
00:09:59.285 --> 00:10:03.845
that you provide a succinct, an answer as possible,
165
00:10:04.065 --> 00:10:08.805
um, obviously got a lot to cover, um, again,
166
00:10:08.985 --> 00:10:12.725
um, likely that there will be some action points arising.
167
00:10:13.385 --> 00:10:17.445
Um, and as with previous hearings, I'm just looking across
168
00:10:17.505 --> 00:10:21.245
to the applicant's side if there's somebody able to, um,
169
00:10:21.555 --> 00:10:23.205
capture those, uh,
170
00:10:23.205 --> 00:10:25.485
and then we can agree them, uh,
171
00:10:25.485 --> 00:10:26.725
following the close of the hearing.
172
00:10:27.335 --> 00:10:28.965
Thank you. I'm seeing some nods.
173
00:10:30.345 --> 00:10:32.445
Um, again, we will aim
174
00:10:32.465 --> 00:10:36.725
to have a short break mid-morning at convenient points

175
00:10:37.225 --> 00:10:41.165
and look to break for lunch, uh, around, uh, one o'clock,
176
00:10:42.025 --> 00:10:43.125
um, if necessary.
177
00:10:43.465 --> 00:10:47.445
Um, we'll also have a break mid-afternoon, um,
178
00:10:47.585 --> 00:10:50.125
and basic continue until we're content
179
00:10:50.125 --> 00:10:52.965
that we've addressed fully the agenda items
180
00:10:53.185 --> 00:10:54.925
and everything that needs to be discussed.
181
00:10:55.945 --> 00:10:57.845
Um, so just very quickly, uh,
182
00:10:57.845 --> 00:11:00.885
before we move on, um, does anyone have any comments
183
00:11:00.915 --> 00:11:02.725
that they wish to make, um,
184
00:11:02.915 --> 00:11:05.325
just on this introduction? Uh, Mr. Walker?
185
00:11:06.055 --> 00:11:09.445
Thank you Angus Walker for DFDS, um, as in
186
00:11:10.005 --> 00:11:12.645
previous DCO hearings, if we have any points on
187
00:11:13.255 --> 00:11:15.885
provisions in the DCO that are not on the agenda,
188
00:11:15.945 --> 00:11:17.725

```
should we wait till the end
1 8 9
00:11:17.725 --> 00:11:20.965
or raise them at the sort of in DCO order?
1 9 0
00:11:21.915 --> 00:11:23.525
What, because the first one isn't till,
1 9 1
00:11:23.535 --> 00:11:26.725
isn't till Article 33, and we've got some before that.
192
00:11:27.435 --> 00:11:31.285
What, what I'd like to do in this hearing session is to,
193
00:11:31.425 --> 00:11:35.605
to go through the points that I've got in order, um, some
194
00:11:35.605 --> 00:11:38.845
of which may anyway, uh, bear on other bits
195
00:11:38.845 --> 00:11:41.725
and pieces that we didn't list in the agenda,
196
00:11:41.845 --> 00:11:43.445
which you may have flagged up.
197
00:11:44.025 --> 00:11:46.405
And then I'm, um, particularly going
198
00:11:46.425 --> 00:11:50.325
to give the ips the opportunity in effect in the AOB section
1 9 9
00:11:50.425 --> 00:11:51.725
if we haven't covered anything.
200
00:11:52.065 --> 00:11:56.925
But it's going to just help logically, um, not least me, uh,
201
00:11:56.925 --> 00:11:57.965
keep some sort of order.
```

```
202
00:11:57.965 --> 00:11:59.685
Otherwise, it, it gets terribly messy.
203
00:12:00.345 --> 00:12:02.485
Um, what I'm also going to try
204
00:12:02.485 --> 00:12:06.325
and do with, if, if everybody's agreeable, um, is
205
00:12:07.385 --> 00:12:08.805
we will look at the articles first.
206
00:12:08.975 --> 00:12:10.085
We'll go through the requirements.
207
00:12:10.505 --> 00:12:12.245
I'm gonna try and deal with everything
208
00:12:12.355 --> 00:12:15.645
that involves the council as early
209
00:12:15.785 --> 00:12:17.965
and as quickly as possible so that Mr.
210
00:12:17.985 --> 00:12:19.005
Limmer can be released.
211
00:12:20.025 --> 00:12:22.445
Uh, we'll similarly try
212
00:12:22.445 --> 00:12:24.645
and take the same vein with Harbor Master.
213
00:12:25.495 --> 00:12:28.405
Might not be quite so easy, um, to,
214
00:12:28.505 --> 00:12:30.405
but we'll, we'll, we'll try and do that.
215
00:12:31.345 --> 00:12:34.525
```

```
Um, but I think, I think it's important, um, that we, we try
216
00:12:34.525 --> 00:12:36.525
and deal with everything for the local authority as quickly
2 1 7
00:12:36.585 --> 00:12:39.965
as possible, um, so that he's not unnecessarily detained.
2 1 8
00:12:40.205 --> 00:12:42.245
'cause no doubt he's got lots to do.
219
00:12:42.385 --> 00:12:44.605
If, if I remember back to my local authority days, uh,
220
00:12:44.605 --> 00:12:46.845
there was certainly always more to do than, uh,
221
00:12:46.975 --> 00:12:48.085
hours in the day.
222
00:12:50.995 --> 00:12:53.925
Does that generally sound a a, a reasonable way
223
00:12:54.225 --> 00:12:55.405
to, to progress?
224
00:12:55.505 --> 00:12:57.925
Yes, sir. Mr. You raise timing type
225
00:12:57.985 --> 00:12:59.325
or running water type matters?
226
00:13:01.295 --> 00:13:03.685
Angus Walker DFS? Yes, that sounds fine. We'll proceed
227
00:13:04.145 --> 00:13:05.145
In the vein.
228
00:13:05.345 --> 00:13:06.845
Is everybody else generally content
```

```
2 2 9
00:13:06.845 --> 00:13:08.045
with that sort of approach?
2 3 0
00:13:09.985 --> 00:13:13.405
Not seeing anybody vehemently opposing it anyway. Okay.
2 3 1
00:13:16.105 --> 00:13:18.285
And just very quickly, while we're still on this
2 3 2
00:13:18.645 --> 00:13:20.925
introductory agenda item, was there anything anybody else
2 3 3
00:13:20.925 --> 00:13:22.805
wanted to raise at this stage
2 3 4
00:13:22.805 --> 00:13:26.685
before we move on to, uh, substantive part of the agenda?
2 3 5
00:13:28.625 --> 00:13:31.405
Uh, not seeing any further hands raised.
236
00:13:31.625 --> 00:13:35.205
So I think with that we can conclude item agenda item two,
237
00:13:35.865 --> 00:13:39.805
uh, and move on to item three, uh,
2 3 8
00:13:39.825 --> 00:13:43.365
the discussion about the draft development consent order.
2 3 9
00:13:44.025 --> 00:13:47.725
And with that, I will now hand back to Mr. Gould
240
00:13:47.985 --> 00:13:49.285
to lead this item.
241
00:13:52.635 --> 00:13:54.405
Okay. Well, I'd, I'd like to start
242
00:13:54.465 --> 00:13:59.045
```

by looking at what's been a slightly troublesome word, um,
243
00:13:59.785 --> 00:14:02.925
in Article two and that's, um, maintained
244
00:14:02.925 --> 00:14:07.205
and what it actually means and how maintain has been
245
00:14:07.425 --> 00:14:09.205
or maintenance has been dealt with,
246
00:14:09.205 --> 00:14:10.925
particularly in the environmental statement.
247
00:14:11.985 --> 00:14:14.885
Um, I think the easier way
248
00:14:14.905 --> 00:14:17.445
or the shorthand way of describing how it's been dealt
249
00:14:17.445 --> 00:14:19.645
with in the environmental statement is extremely briefly.
250
00:14:20.665 --> 00:14:23.885
Um, there is hardly any reference.
251
00:14:24.625 --> 00:14:29.165
And then when we look at how maintain is, is being defined,
252
00:14:29.465 --> 00:14:32.405
um, in article two, I think that the two areas
253
00:14:32.435 --> 00:14:35.205
that are particularly troublesome are re remove
254
00:14:35.305 --> 00:14:38.325
and reconstruct, um,
255
00:14:40.835 --> 00:14:42.565
removed, potentially demolition.

```
256
00:14:42.705 --> 00:14:46.925
The, the ES is quite clear that it, it has in effect parked,
257
00:14:48.315 --> 00:14:50.535
um, demolition for
258
00:14:50.855 --> 00:14:53.605
whenever that might happen sometime in the distant future.
259
00:14:55.025 --> 00:14:59.365
Um, but it's, it's reconstruct, um, that is perhaps of,
260
00:15:00.345 --> 00:15:02.325
um, immediate pertinent.
2 6 1
00:15:03.405 --> 00:15:05.045
I mean, from the applicant's perspective,
262
00:15:05.275 --> 00:15:08.365
what do you think reconstruct actually means?
263
00:15:11.965 --> 00:15:15.665
Is it bits and pieces, maybe a part of a jetty, um,
264
00:15:15.775 --> 00:15:19.865
that got damaged or a links span element that got damaged
2 6 5
00:15:20.005 --> 00:15:21.305
and would need to be replaced?
266
00:15:22.205 --> 00:15:24.145
Or does it go further than that?
267
00:15:24.325 --> 00:15:28.105
Uh, almost, um, verging on total renewal
268
00:15:28.285 --> 00:15:31.585
of large elements of the proposed infrastructure.
2 6 9
00:15:36.965 --> 00:15:40.505
```

```
So James TRO for the applicant. So it's both.
270
00:15:41.885 --> 00:15:45.425
And the position is
2 7 1
00:15:46.335 --> 00:15:50.105
that the environmental statement, of course, does assess
2 7 2
00:15:51.645 --> 00:15:53.665
the construction
273
00:15:56.445 --> 00:16:00.105
and the environmental effects of
274
00:16:00.865 --> 00:16:02.265
reconstruction if that were to occur,
2 7 5
00:16:02.265 --> 00:16:03.745
would be in effect the same
276
00:16:07.725 --> 00:16:10.085
embedded of course in the general proposition
277
00:16:10.785 --> 00:16:13.085
of these wordings.
2 7 8
00:16:13.705 --> 00:16:18.685
Um, maintenance, for example, be it from painting
2 7 9
00:16:18.865 --> 00:16:23.765
or replacement of a bolt, et cetera, is, uh,
280
00:16:24.075 --> 00:16:26.085
permitted in any event
281
00:16:30.065 --> 00:16:32.805
may not even in fact constitute development at all.
282
00:16:33.795 --> 00:16:35.645
Most, most, for most part it wouldn't.
```

```
2 8 3
00:16:37.065 --> 00:16:40.765
But that, that, that is the, the, the approach
2 8 4
00:16:43.895 --> 00:16:45.405
Would require a separate consent.
2 8 5
00:16:45.815 --> 00:16:47.045
Sorry, a substantial,
286
00:16:47.385 --> 00:16:48.385
Yes. Uh, it's not,
287
00:16:48.385 --> 00:16:48.885
yeah.
288
00:16:48.905 --> 00:16:53.045
Um, to be clear, any alteration beyond, um,
289
00:16:53.705 --> 00:16:56.245
the scheme that's been assessed would inevitably require,
290
00:16:57.665 --> 00:17:00.445
uh, consent if it, if it's substantial
291
00:17:02.785 --> 00:17:06.485
or departing from what's been authorized.
292
00:17:08.945 --> 00:17:12.485
And that, that is, that is the intention.
293
00:17:12.825 --> 00:17:14.765
We also say it's the effect of the wording.
294
00:17:15.105 --> 00:17:18.725
Um, but that just to be clear, that's, we're not seeking
295
00:17:18.725 --> 00:17:21.245
to do more than that which has been assessed
296
00:17:31.945 --> 00:17:35.505
```

```
Anything from any of the ips, Mr.
297
00:17:35.745 --> 00:17:36.745
O
298
00:17:36.885 --> 00:17:38.305
Uh, Robbie Aaron for CRDN.
299
00:17:39.445 --> 00:17:42.985
So I'm, I'm afraid we, we failed to understand the, uh,
300
00:17:43.105 --> 00:17:45.225
applicant's position in this respect.
301
00:17:45.445 --> 00:17:48.145
Um, we, we didn't understand it before today.
302
00:17:49.185 --> 00:17:50.485
We still don't understand it.
303
00:17:50.665 --> 00:17:55.445
Um, I refer to our own submissions at deadline six,
304
00:17:55.825 --> 00:17:58.245
uh, rep 6 0 3 6.
305
00:17:58.545 --> 00:18:02.325
Um, in appendix one, we set out our, um,
306
00:18:02.885 --> 00:18:05.685
comments on the then draft of the,
307
00:18:06.185 --> 00:18:07.365
uh, development consent order.
308
00:18:07.625 --> 00:18:10.245
In the very first point we made in paragraphs one through
309
00:18:10.265 --> 00:18:14.245
to seven, um, was in relation to this very issue
```

```
310
00:18:14.245 --> 00:18:15.645
of maintenance and Article six
311
00:18:15.665 --> 00:18:17.685
and the definition of maintain in Article two,
312
00:18:18.155 --> 00:18:19.165
paragraph one.
313
00:18:19.465 --> 00:18:23.165
And I, I've obviously heard what Mr.
314
00:18:23.165 --> 00:18:24.965
Straw has just said, but this isn't
315
00:18:24.965 --> 00:18:28.205
what the draft DCO itself says in
316
00:18:28.205 --> 00:18:32.605
that article two one clearly includes in maintain, uh,
317
00:18:33.085 --> 00:18:37.565
reconstruct, uh, amongst, um, an alterations of course.
318
00:18:37.985 --> 00:18:39.205
Um, and, um,
319
00:18:40.105 --> 00:18:44.245
It, it, it, It, it seems plain to us, um, for,
320
00:18:44.245 --> 00:18:47.645
for the other reasons we went on to say in that, uh,
321
00:18:47.965 --> 00:18:52.325
documented deadline six, that the applicant is not, um,
322
00:18:52.805 --> 00:18:55.805
considered the environmental impact of the full extent
323
00:18:55.805 --> 00:18:58.085
```

```
of maintenance as defined in Article two one,
324
00:19:00.075 --> 00:19:02.365
including the environmental impact of reconstruct,
325
00:19:02.365 --> 00:19:05.525
which Article two one taken with Article six would, would,
326
00:19:05.525 --> 00:19:08.925
would permit without any further approvals.
327
00:19:10.595 --> 00:19:14.865
It's as plain, as plain as that to to,
328
00:19:14.965 --> 00:19:17.345
to our eyes in terms of what the DCO is seeking, which,
3 2 9
00:19:17.485 --> 00:19:18.905
and there's a, a very big mismatch
3 3 0
00:19:18.905 --> 00:19:20.185
therefore with what's been assessed.
331
00:19:38.425 --> 00:19:42.425
I mean, I certainly had instances with other cases
332
00:19:42.435 --> 00:19:46.185
where it's the reconstruct word that has caused concerns.
3 3 3
00:19:46.345 --> 00:19:47.905
I, I can think of, or I've seen it
3 3 4
00:19:47.905 --> 00:19:49.145
with national highway schemes
335
00:19:49.645 --> 00:19:51.865
and the re reconstruct has been taken out.
336
00:19:52.685 --> 00:19:53.685
Um,
```

337
00:19:57.275 --> 00:20:00.365
There's Nothing wrong, sir, in robo for CLDN.
338
00:20:00.365 --> 00:20:05.205
There's nothing unusual in seeing reconstruct in,

## 339

00:20:05.305 --> 00:20:07.645
in this wording, but if you are seeking

## 340

00:20:07.675 --> 00:20:09.365
that power, you need to assess it,
341
00:20:09.465 --> 00:20:10.685
Assess it Precisely, yes.
342
00:20:10.705 --> 00:20:13.205
So it's a perfectly normal formulation of words,
343
00:20:13.225 --> 00:20:15.685
and you see that power in local harbor legisl

## 344

00:20:15.715 --> 00:20:17.005
legislation all over the place.
345
00:20:17.505 --> 00:20:19.245
Um, but it needs to be assessed
346
00:20:21.855 --> 00:20:23.125
James Strong for the applicant.
347
00:20:24.305 --> 00:20:28.525
So just taking it then in phases, uh,

## 348

00:20:28.665 --> 00:20:32.645
as is accepted, this is a commonly appearing word,
349
00:20:33.625 --> 00:20:37.605
and I, so you, you are referring to other examples
350
00:20:37.605 --> 00:20:38.685
where it may have been taken out.
351
00:20:38.765 --> 00:20:40.405
I don't know of those examples,
352
00:20:40.585 --> 00:20:43.365
but certainly it's common to find it in,
353
00:20:43.895 --> 00:20:47.725
we've given you presence in the DCO world
354
00:20:48.715 --> 00:20:52.645
Tilbury two and the able scheme
355
00:20:53.945 --> 00:20:58.245
and the principle of assessment seems, that seems
356
00:20:58.245 --> 00:21:02.765
to be the focus, of course, is exactly the same, um, as
357
00:21:03.825 --> 00:21:07.605
the justification in relation to Tilbury two and Abel.
358
00:21:08.425 --> 00:21:11.365
The reconstruction, if it were to occur,

```
359
```

00:21:11.775 --> 00:21:14.685
takes place within the same environmental envelope
360
00:21:14.745 --> 00:21:17.525
of the construction, which has already been assessed,
361
00:21:19.105 --> 00:21:23.685
and it is difficult to conceive
362
00:21:23.945 --> 00:21:25.485
of what additional,
363
00:21:25.545 --> 00:21:27.925
and I think you'll struggle to find any additional

```
364
00:21:28.455 --> 00:21:33.285
assessment in either of those two examples, let alone
3 6 5
00:21:33.865 --> 00:21:35.285
the ability to articulate
3 6 6
00:21:35.285 --> 00:21:39.165
what additional environmental effects are being postulated
367
00:21:40.425 --> 00:21:41.565
on reconstruction,
368
00:21:41.565 --> 00:21:43.725
which are different from the construction.
369
00:21:45.705 --> 00:21:50.565
And so that is the principle
370
00:21:50.565 --> 00:21:52.165
of the, of the environmental envelope.
371
00:21:55.155 --> 00:21:59.415
So, and I, I think you've got our rep 5 0 3 4,
372
00:22:00.555 --> 00:22:05.255
uh, you, you can check the relevant documents if you, if,
3 7 3
00:22:05.355 --> 00:22:08.375
if you, uh, if it would assist.
374
00:22:08.475 --> 00:22:12.975
But in relation to Porter Tilbury two expansion order,
375
00:22:13.115 --> 00:22:15.095
for example, a similar wording
376
00:22:15.755 --> 00:22:19.495
and the similar principle, no specific
377
00:22:20.935 --> 00:22:23.975
```

```
identification of additional environmental effects from
378
00:22:24.215 --> 00:22:27.015
reconstruction, because of course they're already covered
379
00:22:27.075 --> 00:22:28.935
by the process of construction.
380
00:22:34.755 --> 00:22:36.015
Robbie Aaron for CRDN.
381
00:22:36.355 --> 00:22:39.295
So, so I, I really don't think it assists the applicant
382
00:22:39.355 --> 00:22:42.895
to refer to, uh, other development consent orders, um,
3 8 3
00:22:42.895 --> 00:22:45.695
because that misses the point, um,
384
00:22:47.835 --> 00:22:51.535
as I've said, um, other development consent orders and, and,
385
00:22:51.555 --> 00:22:53.495
and I suspect including Tilbury two
386
00:22:53.555 --> 00:22:56.655
and also the able order, um, have these words in it.
387
00:22:56.835 --> 00:22:59.615
But, but that's not, that's not this, this point,
388
00:22:59.645 --> 00:23:03.815
this point is, uh, has the applicant in this case, uh,
389
00:23:04.085 --> 00:23:05.935
justified the powers it's seeking
390
00:23:06.635 --> 00:23:08.735
by including within the scope of the assessment,
```

```
391
00:23:08.735 --> 00:23:12.135
carried out an assessment of the effects of reconstruction.
392
00:23:12.275 --> 00:23:16.135
And we did address the reference previously made,
393
00:23:16.135 --> 00:23:18.175
and again, today, today made by the applicant
394
00:23:18.235 --> 00:23:21.775
to the Tilbury order, which, um, as you may recall,
395
00:23:21.875 --> 00:23:24.735
was an order I promoted in paragraph six of
396
00:23:24.925 --> 00:23:28.535
that appendix one of rep 6 0 3 6
397
00:23:28.535 --> 00:23:29.575
that I mentioned earlier, earlier.
398
00:23:30.635 --> 00:23:34.375
And, uh, and we said then that the environmental statement
399
00:23:34.375 --> 00:23:36.015
for another project in that case,
4 0 0
00:23:36.015 --> 00:23:38.415
port Tilbury has no bearing on the matter.
4 0 1
00:23:39.435 --> 00:23:41.135
Um, for the reason I've just said, it's
4 0 2
00:23:41.135 --> 00:23:43.935
what has been assessed in this case that matters.
4 0 3
00:23:44.835 --> 00:23:47.925
And, and I went on to say in that appendix, in any,
4 0 4
00:23:48.065 --> 00:23:50.125
```

```
in any event, even the excerpt of
4 0 5
00:23:50.125 --> 00:23:51.565
that environmental statement selected
4 0 6
00:23:51.565 --> 00:23:54.925
by the applicant highlights its inconsistency on this issue.
4 0 7
00:23:55.065 --> 00:23:58.245
The extract notes that the exercise of the power to maintain
408
00:23:58.865 --> 00:24:00.925
in the Tilbury case that is, would be subject
4 0 9
00:24:00.925 --> 00:24:03.725
to measures contained in an operational management plan.
4 1 0
00:24:04.425 --> 00:24:06.965
The applicant has proposed no such equivalent measure here.
4 1 1
00:24:07.505 --> 00:24:09.165
Um, you, you don't have
4 1 2
00:24:09.165 --> 00:24:11.565
before you the environmental statements that were prepared,
4 1 3
00:24:11.985 --> 00:24:14.565
um, for the Bury scheme or indeed for the able scheme.
4 1 4
00:24:15.065 --> 00:24:18.685
Um, and it, it doesn't assist to sort of refer
4 1 5
00:24:18.685 --> 00:24:19.685
to them in such general terms
4 1 6
00:24:19.685 --> 00:24:22.645
because as I say, what matters is
4 1 7
00:24:22.915 --> 00:24:26.365
what is assessed in each case to justify the powers
```

```
4 1 8
00:24:26.365 --> 00:24:29.165
that are being sought in each case. And
4 1 9
00:24:29.985 --> 00:24:33.445
The, The inescapable conclusion is
4 2 0
00:24:33.445 --> 00:24:36.925
that the applicant has not assessed the full extent
4 2 1
00:24:36.925 --> 00:24:38.765
of the powers it is seeking in Article six.
4 2 2
00:24:39.625 --> 00:24:42.005
Uh, and, and that seems to be borne out by
4 2 3
00:24:43.555 --> 00:24:46.005
even a cursory examination of the environmental statement,
4 2 4
00:24:46.005 --> 00:24:49.245
where whereas in my experience, uh, applicants
4 2 5
00:24:50.465 --> 00:24:53.405
do endeavor to include an assessment of the effects
4 2 6
00:24:53.405 --> 00:24:54.845
of maintenance and reconstruction,
4 2 7
00:24:54.845 --> 00:24:57.365
and you see environmental statement specific sections
4 2 8
00:24:57.555 --> 00:25:00.485
dealing with that because the powers sought extend
4 2 9
00:25:01.025 --> 00:25:03.325
to maintenance including reconstruction.
4 3 0
00:25:03.345 --> 00:25:05.245
So that's our, that's our position.
4 3 1
00:25:05.245 --> 00:25:09.445
```

```
So it hasn't moved on from, um, uh, deadline six
4 3 2
00:25:09.445 --> 00:25:13.365
and indeed previous submissions before, uh, deadline six.
4 3 3
00:25:14.705 --> 00:25:18.605
It seems to us therefore that you have no choice
4 3 4
00:25:18.705 --> 00:25:23.525
but to recommend that the power to maintain cannot
4 3 5
00:25:24.435 --> 00:25:28.085
include reconstruction because it hasn't been assessed.
4 3 6
00:25:32.495 --> 00:25:33.875
It, it's no answer to be told.
4 3 7
00:25:33.875 --> 00:25:36.275
Well, the reconstruction would take place within the same
4 3 8
00:25:36.515 --> 00:25:37.915
envelope as as construction.
4 3 9
00:25:38.175 --> 00:25:40.915
That's not enough. You, you, you need to see evidence
440
00:25:40.915 --> 00:25:44.515
that the environmental effects of reconstruction
4 4 1
00:25:45.105 --> 00:25:46.355
have been considered.
442
00:25:46.355 --> 00:25:48.315
It might be said, but it hasn't been said
4 4 3
00:25:48.315 --> 00:25:51.035
that the effects are no greater than for construction,
444
00:25:51.035 --> 00:25:52.155
but that's not before you,
```

```
4 4 5
00:25:54.535 --> 00:25:55.535
Okay.
4 4 6
00:25:55.615 --> 00:25:57.905
Okay. Understand your point, Mr. Walker.
4 4 7
00:25:58.645 --> 00:26:00.785
Um, thank you. Angus Walker for DFDS.
4 4 8
00:26:00.885 --> 00:26:04.945
Um, I had been reasonably relaxed the Article six two
4 4 9
00:26:05.655 --> 00:26:09.305
limited maintenance to that, which was, um,
4 5 0
00:26:10.065 --> 00:26:11.305
assessed in the environmental statement.
4 5 1
00:26:11.305 --> 00:26:13.625
And so if it isn't assessed, then they can't do it.
4 5 2
00:26:14.325 --> 00:26:17.385
But when Mr. STR just tried to say that
4 5 3
00:26:18.505 --> 00:26:21.065
reconstruction is within the envelope of construction,
4 5 4
00:26:22.025 --> 00:26:23.105
I can't see, surely
4 5 5
00:26:23.105 --> 00:26:24.785
that's doubling the environmental effects.
4 5 6
00:26:24.805 --> 00:26:27.465
If you're doing it twice, you'll have twice as much noise,
4 5 7
00:26:27.465 --> 00:26:29.945
twice as much traffic over twice as long a time.
4 5 8
00:26:30.925 --> 00:26:34.065
```

Um, I can't see how that is possibly justifiable.
459
00:26:34.765 --> 00:26:39.025
So I'm now concerned that they're going to interpret
460
00:26:39.975 --> 00:26:43.925
that a bit too widely, uh, on a, I'm not sure if I'm allowed
461
00:26:43.925 --> 00:26:45.405
to raise a slightly different point,
462
00:26:45.405 --> 00:26:47.725
but the, the definition of construct
463
00:26:48.665 --> 00:26:51.045
has replace in it in article two,
464
00:26:52.305 --> 00:26:54.085
and I've made this point before,
465
00:26:54.105 --> 00:26:58.205
but it has no equivalent to, um, being limited
466
00:26:58.265 --> 00:27:00.165
to what's assessed in the environmental statement.
467
00:27:00.785 --> 00:27:03.365
At the last hearing when I raised it, it was, it,
468
00:27:03.385 --> 00:27:07.165
it became an action 0.4 to, um,
469
00:27:08.065 --> 00:27:09.645
for the applicant to justify it,
470
00:27:09.665 --> 00:27:13.325
but they didn't do so in their response to action 0.4.
471
00:27:13.425 --> 00:27:16.085
So we still need that justification.

```
4 7 2
00:27:33.405 --> 00:27:36.185
Mr. So James Storm for the applicant, just dealing
4 7 3
00:27:36.185 --> 00:27:38.065
with, I think two slightly different points,
4 7 4
00:27:38.125 --> 00:27:39.425
but the, the, going back
475
00:27:39.425 --> 00:27:43.185
to the first point I've already made our, our points on it.
476
00:27:43.685 --> 00:27:47.425
The, just in terms of the additional point, you'll see
4 7 7
00:27:48.525 --> 00:27:51.425
my submissions that I've just made about reconstructs
478
00:27:51.425 --> 00:27:55.185
and in relation to maintenance, please note the terms
4 7 9
00:27:55.285 --> 00:27:56.985
of Article six two.
4 8 0
00:28:02.405 --> 00:28:05.265
The article doesn't authorize any works which are likely
4 8 1
00:28:05.265 --> 00:28:06.945
to give rise to any materially new
4 8 2
00:28:06.945 --> 00:28:09.425
or materially different effects than not been assessed in
4 8 3
00:28:09.425 --> 00:28:10.985
the environmental statement.
4 8 4
00:28:14.285 --> 00:28:18.145
So it's clear that
4 8 5
00:28:19.515 --> 00:28:22.785
```

```
those works and maintenance that I was identifying
4 8 6
00:28:22.785 --> 00:28:26.865
as within the scope insofar as they go
4 8 7
00:28:26.865 --> 00:28:31.625
outside the environmental envelope, um, would be covered
4 8 8
00:28:31.725 --> 00:28:33.225
or caught or excluded.
4 8 9
00:28:33.335 --> 00:28:36.145
I'll put a better way under Article six two.
4 9 0
00:28:37.175 --> 00:28:41.225
However, going back to the sort of principle point, um,
4 9 1
00:28:42.285 --> 00:28:45.985
are the triumph
4 9 2
00:28:45.985 --> 00:28:50.585
for form over substance, where the environmental statement
4 9 3
00:28:51.245 --> 00:28:55.425
has set out an assessment of the construction
4 9 4
00:28:58.635 --> 00:29:02.345
works of maintenance, repair, placement, et cetera,
4 9 5
00:29:03.935 --> 00:29:07.665
will, where they give rise to the same in effect
4 9 6
00:29:08.455 --> 00:29:09.625
effects that have been already
4 9 7
00:29:09.865 --> 00:29:11.305
assessed, are already assessed.
4 9 8
00:29:12.325 --> 00:29:17.025
And I, I'm not, uh, we'll leave it for you
```

```
4 9 9
00:29:17.025 --> 00:29:19.505
to look at the Tilbury two example.
500
00:29:20.645 --> 00:29:23.705
Uh, you can readily see the environmental statement,
501
00:29:23.705 --> 00:29:25.545
but we've already given you the extract.
502
00:29:26.725 --> 00:29:28.185
Um, that's of relevance.
503
00:29:28.845 --> 00:29:33.665
The, the basic point made by the Assessors in
504
00:29:33.665 --> 00:29:35.625
that case is that there isn't a requirement
505
00:29:35.625 --> 00:29:39.785
for further assessment because the maintenance operations
506
00:29:40.015 --> 00:29:43.245
with all fall within the environmental envelope related
507
00:29:43.305 --> 00:29:45.205
to the initial construction phase
508
00:29:45.345 --> 00:29:48.405
as they would involve similar activities.
509
00:29:48.665 --> 00:29:50.725
And that is obvious.
510
00:29:56.005 --> 00:29:58.785
It is worth just remembering, of course, in relation
511
00:29:58.785 --> 00:30:02.705
to environmental impact assessment, that the requirement
512
00:30:02.705 --> 00:30:05.305
```

```
to assess effects is of course,
513
00:30:05.405 --> 00:30:07.945
the likely significant environmental of effects
514
00:30:09.405 --> 00:30:13.745
and where those have been done for the scheme in relation
515
00:30:13.745 --> 00:30:17.305
to construction, merely repeating
516
00:30:19.245 --> 00:30:20.505
if it's thought necessary.
517
00:30:21.095 --> 00:30:25.785
That sort of principle, namely, I'm not going to have
518
00:30:25.785 --> 00:30:27.865
to reassess the effects I've already assessed
519
00:30:27.865 --> 00:30:30.945
where I'm replacing them is unnecessary.
520
00:30:30.945 --> 00:30:32.625
There's no requirement on in law.
521
00:30:33.285 --> 00:30:36.865
Uh, and the, I've made it clear,
522
00:30:37.665 --> 00:30:40.385
I submit the order, makes it clear as in other cases
523
00:30:41.415 --> 00:30:44.065
that there isn't a power to go beyond
524
00:30:44.815 --> 00:30:48.945
that which has already been assessed in, in the works of
525
00:30:49.495 --> 00:30:50.745
maintenance, et cetera.
```

```
526
00:30:54.805 --> 00:30:58.145
But I suspect you'll, you'll just need to reflect on,
527
00:30:58.845 --> 00:31:00.225
on those and come to your own view.
528
00:31:01.145 --> 00:31:02.665
I think you are quite right in that context.
529
00:31:03.125 --> 00:31:05.945
Um, we've heard what you've said, we've heard
5 3 0
00:31:05.975 --> 00:31:07.185
what the IPS have said.
53
00:31:07.885 --> 00:31:09.185
We, we've just gonna have to go away
532
00:31:09.185 --> 00:31:12.065
and do a bit of reading, uh, in detail in terms
533
00:31:12.065 --> 00:31:12.985
of what's in the air,
534
00:31:39.195 --> 00:31:39.545
Right.
535
00:31:39.645 --> 00:31:44.545
I'd like to now jump onto our jump forward in the, uh, order
536
00:31:44.645 --> 00:31:45.905
to article 33
537
00:31:46.925 --> 00:31:51.185
and schedule six, um, in effect certification of plans
538
00:31:51.805 --> 00:31:56.505
and in particular touch on the navigational risk assessment.
5 3 9
00:31:58.005 --> 00:32:02.625
```

```
Now, in previous iterations of the, um, draft order,
540
00:32:03.845 --> 00:32:08.305
um, there was reference to the NRA in requirement 15,
5 4 1
00:32:09.365 --> 00:32:12.345
um, that was removed, I think in the version of the order
542
00:32:12.345 --> 00:32:14.505
that was issued at deadline five.
543
00:32:15.485 --> 00:32:18.985
And that follows, uh, I think clear submissions, um,
544
00:32:19.095 --> 00:32:20.985
that were made by the applicant via Mr.
545
00:32:21.235 --> 00:32:26.185
Drawn at certainly the last, um, DCO hearing issue,
546
00:32:26.665 --> 00:32:27.785
specific hearing four.
547
00:32:29.205 --> 00:32:31.745
Um, there is,
548
00:32:31.745 --> 00:32:33.665
however, a slight problem with the way
549
00:32:33.665 --> 00:32:36.025
that the order is currently drafted
550
00:32:36.415 --> 00:32:39.325
because in article two, um,
551
00:32:40.765 --> 00:32:45.125
NRA is is referred to, um, it's given its full form
552
00:32:45.265 --> 00:32:47.325
and it, its abbreviation
```

```
553
00:32:48.145 --> 00:32:51.485
and then it appears in, um, schedule six
554
00:32:51.625 --> 00:32:53.565
as a document to be certified.
555
00:32:54.305 --> 00:32:56.845
But those are the only two references as far
556
00:32:56.845 --> 00:33:00.245
as I can tell within the current draft order.
557
00:33:01.225 --> 00:33:04.325
Um, where the NRA is actually referred
55
00:33:04.325 --> 00:33:07.965
to IE in the interpretation section under article two,
559
00:33:08.825 --> 00:33:12.445
and then in schedule six, um, is,
560
00:33:13.145 --> 00:33:15.205
is the continued presence
561
00:33:15.825 --> 00:33:19.685
or the reference to the NRA, Um,
5 6 2
00:33:20.265 --> 00:33:22.285
in effect at the front end of the order
563
00:33:22.425 --> 00:33:23.645
and the back end of the order,
564
00:33:23.785 --> 00:33:27.045
but with nothing actually biting on it, is
5 6 5
00:33:27.045 --> 00:33:28.525
that just a drafting error?
566
00:33:29.665 --> 00:33:32.125
```

```
Um, or does the applicant consider
567
00:33:32.125 --> 00:33:35.085
that the NRA does have some role to play,
568
00:33:36.065 --> 00:33:37.085
uh, in a made order,
569
00:33:41.375 --> 00:33:43.605
James Stro for the applicant?
5 7 0
00:33:43.945 --> 00:33:48.405
Sir? Yes. The references you are identifying are,
5 7 1
00:33:48.985 --> 00:33:52.205
uh, hangover from the previous identification.
572
00:33:53.145 --> 00:33:54.805
The environmental statement,
573
00:33:54.805 --> 00:33:58.885
however, is a, tends to be a certified document
574
00:34:00.465 --> 00:34:04.205
and the NRA forms part of the environmental statement.
575
00:34:55.125 --> 00:34:57.785
But if I look at, um, schedule six
576
00:34:58.125 --> 00:35:01.305
and the, the documents forming part of the ES
577
00:35:02.015 --> 00:35:06.985
that are listed, um, it's some, not all,
578
00:35:08.635 --> 00:35:11.705
which then begs the question, well,
579
00:35:11.705 --> 00:35:15.905
if all the SES is to be, uh, in effect certified, they will,
```

```
580
00:35:16.035 --> 00:35:17.545
every part of it needs to be listed
581
00:35:22.255 --> 00:35:24.265
because it, it appears from the list
582
00:35:24.265 --> 00:35:28.105
that's currently in schedule six that something perhaps
583
00:35:29.615 --> 00:35:33.465
special is, is, is being considered in terms of NRA
584
00:35:33.965 --> 00:35:36.745
and for that matter, the ta, because that's also referred to
585
00:35:40.655 --> 00:35:41.805
James Storms the applicant.
586
00:35:42.025 --> 00:35:45.445
It is intended to be all of the environmental statement.
587
00:35:45.825 --> 00:35:50.565
The in schedule six, there are various substitutions
58
00:35:51.335 --> 00:35:56.165
where there've been, um, updates to parts
589
00:35:56.165 --> 00:35:57.685
of the environmental statement.
590
00:36:05.595 --> 00:36:09.295
So if you, you're in the same place that I'm,
591
00:36:10.555 --> 00:36:14.295
the document to be certified is
592
00:36:14.875 --> 00:36:16.455
the environmental statement.
593
00:36:16.715 --> 00:36:20.495
```

And then, uh, document reference
594
00:36:21.075 --> 00:36:24.975
on the right hand side, the environmental statement, figures
595
00:36:24.975 --> 00:36:29.295
and appends contained in document references, 8.2, 8.3,
596
00:36:29.705 --> 00:36:33.735
8.4, subject to the substitution set out below.

597
00:36:35.275 --> 00:36:39.935
And then we've got A, B, C, D, E through to
598
00:36:40.535 --> 00:36:44.425
F which f for allowing
599
00:36:44.565 --> 00:36:48.385
for passage of time.
600
00:36:48.445 --> 00:36:49.465
As we're sit here now,
601
00:37:23.175 --> 00:37:26.035
We we're going to touch on some of the control measures
602
00:37:26.625 --> 00:37:29.235
that are referred to in the NRA later on.
603
00:37:29.255 --> 00:37:33.395
So I think, um, we, we can partner that issue, but Mr.
604
00:37:35.385 --> 00:37:38.915
Robo and CRDN, um, that was helpful. What Mr.
605
00:37:39.055 --> 00:37:40.275
Strawn was saying.
606
00:37:40.595 --> 00:37:45.035
I I, I, if I might, um, suggest that the,

```
607
00:37:45.375 --> 00:37:49.395
the layout of that bit of schedule six isn't
608
00:37:50.015 --> 00:37:52.435
as clear as it might be to make that point
609
00:37:52.465 --> 00:37:55.875
that it is the whole es subject to the substitutions.
610
00:37:56.135 --> 00:38:00.235
Um, uh, and I think it could be much clearer, um,
6 1 1
00:38:00.265 --> 00:38:03.795
because, so for example, if we go back to our, our,
6 1 2
00:38:03.895 --> 00:38:05.275
our friend article six two
6 1 3
00:38:05.275 --> 00:38:08.195
that we were discussing a while ago, which says
614
00:38:08.195 --> 00:38:09.195
that the power
615
00:38:09.195 --> 00:38:11.635
to maintain doesn't authorize any works which haven't been
616
00:38:11.755 --> 00:38:13.475
assessed in the es, you then ask yourself, well,
617
00:38:13.475 --> 00:38:14.675
what does the, the ES mean?
618
00:38:15.055 --> 00:38:16.915
You then eventually get to schedule six.
619
00:38:17.295 --> 00:38:20.675
And it, it's not entirely clear from that that
6 2 0
00:38:20.675 --> 00:38:22.675
```

```
that it is the whole Es including
6 2 1
00:38:23.335 --> 00:38:25.155
the navigational risk assessment.
6 2 2
00:38:25.335 --> 00:38:28.715
So, uh, I I I think that the applicant,
6 2 3
00:38:29.575 --> 00:38:31.715
it would be helpful if they could look at the layout
624
00:38:31.735 --> 00:38:35.565
of Schedule six and also consider, consider
6 2 5
00:38:35.565 --> 00:38:38.605
as a second point whether there is a need in the context
626
00:38:38.665 --> 00:38:40.485
of the mention of the, of the ES
627
00:38:42.075 --> 00:38:45.895
to say including the NRA given that
628
00:38:45.895 --> 00:38:48.655
that's separately defined, so
629
00:38:48.655 --> 00:38:51.375
that it's very clear when looking at this if the order is
6 3 0
00:38:51.375 --> 00:38:55.095
made that, that ES is everything including the NRA,
6 3 1
00:39:04.605 --> 00:39:07.025
Mr str James Strong
6 3 2
00:39:07.025 --> 00:39:08.265
for the applicant, happy to do that.
6 3 3
00:39:08.405 --> 00:39:09.505
If it were to assist you, sir,
```

634
00:39:09.905 --> 00:39:13.545
I mean, it, it, it might be, um, that we,
635
00:39:13.565 --> 00:39:17.785
we will get there before you do in terms of, um, the work
636
00:39:17.785 --> 00:39:20.865
that we are likely to be doing next week on, um,
637
00:39:21.205 --> 00:39:23.225
our suggested changes to the order.
638
00:39:24.365 --> 00:39:27.305
Um, but yeah, I think generally I think what we,
639
00:39:27.305 --> 00:39:29.145
having had a look at schedule six this morning,
640
00:39:29.295 --> 00:39:32.505
that there is further clarity required
641
00:39:32.525 --> 00:39:35.185
to make it clear precisely, um,
642
00:39:35.885 --> 00:39:37.425
what's in that list of documents.
643
00:39:38.365 --> 00:39:42.505
Um, so don't be surprised if, um, by the end
644
00:39:42.505 --> 00:39:45.745
of next week we've issued our suggested changes that we,
645
00:39:45.845 --> 00:39:49.665
we possibly, um, tear this schedule apart
646
00:39:49.885 --> 00:39:51.305
and perhaps start again.
647
00:40:05.925 --> 00:40:09.665

```
Why? Because
648
00:40:10.215 --> 00:40:11.225
they haven't assessed.
649
00:40:16.645 --> 00:40:18.785
Um, actually just thinking about it,
650
00:40:18.785 --> 00:40:20.545
taking things slightly outta turn,
6 5 1
00:40:20.685 --> 00:40:24.505
but while I've remembered to raise the matter, um,
652
00:40:24.845 --> 00:40:29.665
it would be very useful if we could have a word version
653
00:40:30.525 --> 00:40:34.185
of the extent version of the D-C-0-A-S-A-P
654
00:40:35.445 --> 00:40:36.505
and similarly,
655
00:40:36.785 --> 00:40:39.425
although we're gonna come to this later, I I might forget.
656
00:40:40.245 --> 00:40:44.905
Um, in terms of protective provisions, there's a set
657
00:40:44.905 --> 00:40:47.065
that DFDS have put forward.
6 5 8
00:40:47.065 --> 00:40:48.865
There's a set that CLDN have put forward,
659
00:40:49.365 --> 00:40:51.985
and I think there are revisions that iot have put forward.
660
00:40:52.365 --> 00:40:55.705
Can we simply ask for all of those to come in in word form?
```

```
6 6 1
00:40:56.885 --> 00:40:58.825
Um, as soon as possible.
6 6 2
00:40:59.725 --> 00:41:02.425
Uh, we've, we will produce a table in our, uh,
6 6 3
00:41:02.425 --> 00:41:05.545
suggested changes as fairly easy to embed all
6 6 4
00:41:05.545 --> 00:41:07.425
that lot into our table.
665
00:41:08.445 --> 00:41:12.545
Having had to work with, uh, draft orders previously
6 6 6
00:41:13.475 --> 00:41:15.665
formatting on the actual order that goes
6 6 7
00:41:15.665 --> 00:41:18.305
to the secretary state is not so straightforward.
6 6 8
00:41:18.925 --> 00:41:22.985
Um, so if sending whatever you can, you,
6 6 9
00:41:23.565 --> 00:41:27.465
you try and get it formatted, um, as, uh,
6 7 0
00:41:27.485 --> 00:41:30.185
the statute instrument should be, but try
6 7 1
00:41:30.185 --> 00:41:32.905
and make it as clean as possible so that if we are having
6 7 2
00:41:32.945 --> 00:41:36.765
to lift it ultimately into our recommended version
6 7 3
00:41:36.785 --> 00:41:41.085
of the order that that process is as clean as possible.
6 7 4
00:41:41.805 --> 00:41:45.165
```

```
'cause my understanding is the, the, the template
6 7 5
00:41:45.305 --> 00:41:48.805
or, uh, is still using a pretty old version of word
6 7 6
00:41:49.785 --> 00:41:54.045
and is quite difficult, um, to use in when you try
6 7 7
00:41:54.045 --> 00:41:56.205
and with a machine that's got the current version of
6 7 8
00:41:56.205 --> 00:41:57.885
where formatting goes all over the place.
6 7 9
00:42:42.805 --> 00:42:45.315
Right. I'd like now like to spend a little bit
6 8 0
00:42:45.315 --> 00:42:48.395
of time looking at the requirements in schedule two.
6 8 1
00:42:49.815 --> 00:42:54.635
Um, I'm wondering whether
6 8 2
00:42:54.695 --> 00:42:59.515
it might be helpful to have displayed,
6 8 3
00:42:59.815 --> 00:43:04.555
um, the extent,
6 8 4
00:43:04.665 --> 00:43:07.715
yeah, uh, the deadline six version of the order, which
6 8 5
00:43:09.495 --> 00:43:12.595
rep 6 0 0 3 by memory, I've,
6 8 6
00:43:12.595 --> 00:43:15.035
I've got a track change version, which is four in front
6 8 7
00:43:15.035 --> 00:43:17.395
of me, so I think it's probably better
```

```
68
00:43:17.395 --> 00:43:19.235
to have the clean version on screen,
6 8 9
00:43:19.235 --> 00:43:20.555
otherwise it could get very messy.
690
00:43:38.185 --> 00:43:41.125
And so really as a general point, particularly
6 9 1
00:43:41.235 --> 00:43:44.365
amongst the requirements where there's a, a need to submit
692
00:43:45.005 --> 00:43:47.845
particularly to the local authority, then get an approval
6 9 3
00:43:48.025 --> 00:43:49.045
for a final version.
6 9 4
00:43:50.185 --> 00:43:52.445
Um, so I think that's particularly affecting requirements
6 9 5
00:43:52.535 --> 00:43:55.325
seven, nine, and 11.
6 9 6
00:43:56.705 --> 00:44:00.845
Um, there still appear to be some issues with anatomy
697
00:44:02.625 --> 00:44:03.845
and in some
6 9 8
00:44:03.845 --> 00:44:06.285
of the other requirements they are in effectfully,
699
00:44:06.285 --> 00:44:10.805
self-contained, you submit something, you hope
7 0 0
00:44:10.805 --> 00:44:15.365
to get an approval, you then abide by, um,
7 0 1
00:44:15.545 --> 00:44:18.925
```

```
the approval that's granted for whatever, um, the matter is.
702
00:44:19.585 --> 00:44:23.885
But for 7, 9 11, it's not so clear
7 0 3
00:44:24.705 --> 00:44:26.445
in the way things are currently drafted,
7 0 4
00:44:36.225 --> 00:44:39.085
Uh, and that there has been some reliance on,
7 0 5
00:44:39.225 --> 00:44:42.125
in effect the sort of you must implement in accordance
706
00:44:42.125 --> 00:44:45.885
with what's been approved, uh, using, uh, requirement 15.
7 0 7
00:44:47.025 --> 00:44:50.325
Um, this perhaps is a question first
708
00:44:50.625 --> 00:44:55.005
for the council in terms of its preference, would,
7 0 9
00:44:55.005 --> 00:44:57.045
would you have a preference for requirements
7 1 0
00:44:57.225 --> 00:45:00.005
or in effect conditions that are written so that everything
7 1 1
00:45:00.615 --> 00:45:04.485
pertaining to a topic area is clearly covered in
7 1 2
00:45:04.485 --> 00:45:09.405
that in one requirement so that the stages submitted a,
7 1 3
00:45:09.845 --> 00:45:12.485
a trigger point discharge
7 1 4
00:45:13.385 --> 00:45:15.085
and then, um, implement
```

```
7 1 5
00:45:15.475 --> 00:45:16.925
accordingly, uh, further
716
00:45:16.945 --> 00:45:19.925
to whatever approval being granted is all in one place,
7 1 7
00:45:20.545 --> 00:45:23.725
not spattered around in various bits within the schedule?
718
00:45:24.745 --> 00:45:26.645
Mr. Limmer, uh, yeah.
719
00:45:26.725 --> 00:45:29.085
Richard Limmer from Northeastern Council, uh, yes,
7 2 0
00:45:29.085 --> 00:45:30.765
we would prefer it, uh, that way.
721
00:45:30.775 --> 00:45:34.005
Thank you. I also suspect that
72
00:45:34.005 --> 00:45:37.525
that makes life a little bit easier for the, the, those
7 2 3
00:45:37.865 --> 00:45:42.445
who are specifically dealing with a particular matter.
7 2 4
00:45:43.185 --> 00:45:46.845
Um, many colleges for whoever, um,
725
00:45:47.395 --> 00:45:50.925
they're only looking at at one set of instructions in effect
726
00:45:50.925 --> 00:45:53.525
to to abide, um, applicant.
7 2 7
00:45:53.625 --> 00:45:55.525
Any, any thoughts about, in effect
7 2 8
00:45:58.065 --> 00:46:01.285
```

```
making requirements that have various steps in the, um,
729
00:46:01.465 --> 00:46:03.485
in effect self-contained
7 3 0
00:46:04.735 --> 00:46:08.645
James, James Strong for the applicant's, uh,
7 3 1
00:46:09.265 --> 00:46:10.325
no difficulty with that.
7 3 2
00:46:15.925 --> 00:46:17.305
Any observations on that sort
73
00:46:17.305 --> 00:46:18.665
of matter from any of the other ips?
7 3 4
00:46:19.565 --> 00:46:19.785
No.
7 3 5
00:46:25.075 --> 00:46:29.145
Again, I think what will happen in our suggested changes
73
00:46:29.285 --> 00:46:32.105
to the order will we'll cover that side of things
7 3 7
00:46:32.565 --> 00:46:33.625
and just generally do
7 3 8
00:46:33.625 --> 00:46:35.785
what we think is an appropriate tidy up exercise.
7 3 9
00:47:04.765 --> 00:47:09.065
Moving on to requirement eight, which actually, sorry,
7 4 0
00:47:11.465 --> 00:47:14.865
I Need to make sure I hadn't made any
7 4 1
00:47:14.865 --> 00:47:15.985
further notes of my own.
```

```
7 4 2
00:47:29.595 --> 00:47:32.645
Yeah, so we we're gonna drop back to requirement four,
7 4 3
00:47:32.645 --> 00:47:34.005
which is construction hours,
7 4 4
00:47:34.955 --> 00:47:37.885
currently subtitled associated development.
745
00:47:38.035 --> 00:47:42.165
Then if we look at requirement six, um,
746
00:47:44.375 --> 00:47:46.725
which relates to in effect Marine works
7 4 7
00:47:47.025 --> 00:47:51.565
and there are hours of control, um, in there, I'm,
748
00:47:51.625 --> 00:47:54.765
I'm wondering whether with respect to art, sorry,
749
00:47:54.765 --> 00:47:58.885
requirement four, that should be expressly being referred to
7 5 0
00:47:59.145 --> 00:48:03.845
as in effect on shore works, then it's clear precisely,
7 5 1
00:48:04.265 --> 00:48:08.605
um, what it's covering versus what, um, is being covered
7 5 2
00:48:09.985 --> 00:48:11.605
in requirement six.
753
00:48:30.905 --> 00:48:32.565
Yes. Four 30, Yes.
7 5 4
00:48:33.945 --> 00:48:36.725
So no James, the applicant, no difficulty in principle of
7 5 5
00:48:36.725 --> 00:48:40.565
```

that, but we think it's covered by the, um, reference
756
00:48:40.565 --> 00:48:41.885
to associated development
757
00:48:42.195 --> 00:48:46.765
because that's then defined above as
758
00:48:46.765 --> 00:48:50.605
to the, uh, works numbers four to 13
759
00:48:50.745 --> 00:48:52.525
and ancillary works in schedule one.
760
00:48:53.905 --> 00:48:56.605
It, it, it is, but that does mean you go back, it,
761
00:48:56.705 --> 00:48:59.605
it might be easier to have a formal words, um,
762
00:48:59.605 --> 00:49:01.125
that said in effect
763
00:49:03.295 --> 00:49:05.885
works other than works number one to three
764
00:49:06.745 --> 00:49:11.565
In, In, in the drafting of, um, requirement four.
765
00:49:12.465 --> 00:49:14.045
And that makes a clear distinction.
766
00:49:14.145 --> 00:49:16.565
You don't have to start looking at another part of the order
767
00:49:16.565 --> 00:49:19.125
to try and work out what associated development is.
768
00:49:19.575 --> 00:49:23.245
Again, wearing a local authority hat in days gone by,

```
769
00:49:23.245 --> 00:49:25.605
it's just so much easier when it's upfront.
770
00:49:25.965 --> 00:49:27.045
'cause the enforcement officer
71
00:49:27.065 --> 00:49:30.405
who gets the call at four o'clock on a Friday afternoon, um,
772
00:49:30.785 --> 00:49:32.325
has got possibly to respond
773
00:49:32.385 --> 00:49:36.445
and, um, it it, if you start having
74
00:49:36.445 --> 00:49:38.285
to look needle in the haystack, um,
75
00:49:38.545 --> 00:49:40.645
it becomes more difficult. So
776
00:49:40.945 --> 00:49:45.165
No, as I said, so we, we, we, no difficulty with that.
7 7 7
00:49:47.215 --> 00:49:48.485
Again, we'll, we'll pick
778
00:49:48.485 --> 00:49:50.285
that up I think in our suggested changes.
79
00:50:09.435 --> 00:50:12.815
And then looking at requirement seven, which is, um,
780
00:50:13.175 --> 00:50:15.055
external appearance, um,
781
00:50:16.975 --> 00:50:18.415
previously an issue has been raised,
782
00:50:18.535 --> 00:50:21.215
```

I can't remember whether Mr. Walker, Mr. Owen,
783
00:50:21.355 --> 00:50:25.335
or possibly both of them in relation to heights,
784
00:50:26.555 --> 00:50:29.965
um, for buildings relative to ground levels.
785
00:50:31.185 --> 00:50:35.925
Um, the, the engineering drawings are quite clear.
786
00:50:36.075 --> 00:50:40.245
They, they refer to illustrative or worth to that effect.
787
00:50:41.115 --> 00:50:44.245
They are also unclear as
788
00:50:44.265 --> 00:50:46.165
to whether they are showing
789
00:50:48.845 --> 00:50:51.405
Finished levels, um, existing levels.
790
00:50:52.665 --> 00:50:56.285
Um, some form of clarity I think needs to go in
791
00:50:56.625 --> 00:50:57.965
to the wording of seven.
792
00:50:58.905 --> 00:51:02.765
Um, so that we've got references to details of both location
793
00:51:03.715 --> 00:51:06.165
heights and external materials.
794
00:51:07.145 --> 00:51:08.285
Uh, Mr. Lier,
795
00:51:08.285 --> 00:51:10.365
have you got any observations of what you've seen?

```
796
00:51:10.915 --> 00:51:12.765
Both of the wording of the requirement,
7 9 7
00:51:12.785 --> 00:51:17.095
but also the drawings, um, and how easy
7 9 8
00:51:17.235 --> 00:51:19.175
or difficult it would be for the local authority
7 9 9
00:51:19.175 --> 00:51:20.735
to interpret whether
800
00:51:20.835 --> 00:51:23.935
or not what was being submitted for approval
801
00:51:25.255 --> 00:51:26.455
actually was in accordance with
802
00:51:26.455 --> 00:51:28.815
what was shown in effect on the schematic drawings
803
00:51:28.815 --> 00:51:30.095
submitted with the application?
804
00:51:32.145 --> 00:51:33.805
Uh, Richard Liman Northeast Links Council.
805
00:51:34.025 --> 00:51:35.845
Uh, thank you sir. Yeah, I think we would agree with,
806
00:51:35.875 --> 00:51:38.325
with yourself in terms of seeking that clarity, um,
807
00:51:38.475 --> 00:51:42.365
from those plans within that set requirement, um,
808
00:51:42.465 --> 00:51:45.245
as you say, it would be quite, uh, tricky at the moment
809
00:51:45.425 --> 00:51:48.325
```

```
to determine exactly where those levels, et cetera, lie.
810
00:51:48.655 --> 00:51:49.125
Thank you
811
00:51:52.865 --> 00:51:53.685
For the applicant.
812
00:51:53.905 --> 00:51:56.485
Um, suggestions as to how that might be resolved.
813
00:52:03.505 --> 00:52:04.705
I mean, it, it appears to me
814
00:52:04.705 --> 00:52:07.545
that potentially the drawings have got to be reissued.
815
00:52:09.895 --> 00:52:14.755
Why is that? To make it clear what the levels are
816
00:52:15.175 --> 00:52:17.755
and any, and they would need to, I mean in terms of the,
817
00:52:18.215 --> 00:52:22.155
the works in the river, there is some assistance in
818
00:52:22.155 --> 00:52:24.955
that they show existing level, uh,
819
00:52:25.175 --> 00:52:29.035
and a level relative to, um, there's, there's,
820
00:52:29.425 --> 00:52:31.595
there's a data level that's clearly referred to,
821
00:52:32.535 --> 00:52:34.955
but we don't have that for the land site side of things.
822
00:52:36.535 --> 00:52:39.475
We think section
```

```
823
00:52:41.045 --> 00:52:44.355
James Storm, the applicant, I, I'm just being told,
824
00:52:44.515 --> 00:52:48.275
I think the heights are set by reference
825
00:52:48.295 --> 00:52:52.235
to ordinance data for landside, but I might be wrong.
826
00:52:52.695 --> 00:52:53.695
Um,
827
00:52:54.455 --> 00:52:57.955
Can we, We can either
828
00:52:59.165 --> 00:53:01.635
check that we may be, I was thinking we might even be able
829
00:53:01.635 --> 00:53:02.795
to get it up on screen,
830
00:53:03.175 --> 00:53:07.355
but, uh, it, it is a matter of principle, no difficulty
831
00:53:07.355 --> 00:53:09.955
with the principle of ensuring that there's clarity
832
00:53:10.095 --> 00:53:11.995
of course, but I think we,
833
00:53:15.255 --> 00:53:19.395
yes, it might be covered in rep 4 0 0 4.
834
00:53:20.275 --> 00:53:21.275
I don't know if,
835
00:53:22.455 --> 00:53:24.195
Should we get, should we get it up and see what,
836
00:53:25.375 --> 00:53:27.125
```

```
We'll get it up for you, sir.
837
00:53:28.465 --> 00:53:30.805
I'm, I'm not sure the explanation
838
00:53:30.945 --> 00:53:32.565
and what's appearing on plans
839
00:53:33.205 --> 00:53:36.005
'cause I think this set of drawings was revised,
840
00:53:37.265 --> 00:53:39.485
but having looked at them, I think last night,
841
00:53:39.845 --> 00:53:41.965
I still was scratching my head a bit,
842
00:53:53.585 --> 00:53:57.205
if I remember rightly, that brickwork, um, sort
843
00:53:57.205 --> 00:53:59.525
of crosshatching below the lorries that we see on that image
844
00:54:00.155 --> 00:54:04.005
that isn't, um, included in some sort of key or anything.
845
00:54:04.225 --> 00:54:05.245
So that's unclear.
846
00:54:06.005 --> 00:54:08.885
I mean I'm presuming that's, um, intended
847
00:54:08.945 --> 00:54:10.445
to be the finished level.
848
00:54:16.745 --> 00:54:21.405
Can we go either left or right
849
00:54:29.525 --> 00:54:32.455
because I think the issue there is that we we're told
```

```
850
00:54:33.005 --> 00:54:34.175
that the heights are given,
851
00:54:34.395 --> 00:54:38.095
but we aren't told what, what the, that data level is.
852
00:54:39.195 --> 00:54:42.375
I'm, you know, presuming that's proposed finished level,
853
00:54:43.755 --> 00:54:46.015
but that does not indicate whether
854
00:54:46.015 --> 00:54:48.495
or not there's been any ground raising
855
00:54:49.275 --> 00:54:50.495
or lowering, for instance.
856
00:54:52.715 --> 00:54:52.935
So
857
00:54:59.545 --> 00:55:00.975
James, Johns the applicant, sir.
858
00:55:00.975 --> 00:55:02.615
Yes, we can take that away.
859
00:55:04.995 --> 00:55:08.235
I think what we will do in our suggested change is
860
00:55:08.235 --> 00:55:11.875
that we won't be able to confirm what we think
861
00:55:12.495 --> 00:55:13.795
the ultimate change should be.
862
00:55:13.995 --> 00:55:16.435
'cause we won't have seen the drawings necessary to, to,
863
00:55:16.775 --> 00:55:18.595
```

```
but we will flag up that something needs
864
00:55:18.595 --> 00:55:20.875
to be done, uh, to address.
865
00:55:21.255 --> 00:55:23.635
Um, so clear, clearer. Mr. Rowing,
866
00:55:24.575 --> 00:55:25.575
Uh, Robbie Aaron? CRDN,
867
00:55:25.575 --> 00:55:28.715
sir. Thank you. Um, just, just a brief related point.
868
00:55:28.735 --> 00:55:29.875
You mentioned five minutes
869
00:55:29.875 --> 00:55:32.755
or so ago that this was possibly a point we had met,
870
00:55:32.755 --> 00:55:35.795
raised ourselves before in, in fact it,
871
00:55:35.795 --> 00:55:37.395
it was a point we had raised in the context
872
00:55:37.495 --> 00:55:41.915
of Article seven in the power to deviate, um, vertically
873
00:55:42.745 --> 00:55:45.075
from the levels shown on the engineering sections,
874
00:55:45.105 --> 00:55:46.195
drawing some plans.
875
00:55:46.655 --> 00:55:49.155
And the point I then made in summary was it wasn't clear
876
00:55:49.155 --> 00:55:52.515
from the plans what those um, levels were.
```

877
00:55:52.935 --> 00:55:54.235
And unless I'm mistaken,
878
00:55:54.355 --> 00:55:57.595
I don't think the applicant has addressed that point
879
00:55:58.245 --> 00:55:59.395
since the last hearing.
880
00:55:59.775 --> 00:56:02.195
So, uh, unless I'm mistaken in that,
881
00:56:02.655 --> 00:56:04.115
I'd be grateful if the applicant would
882
00:56:04.115 --> 00:56:05.315
agree to take that away as well.
883
00:56:06.585 --> 00:56:09.995
Yeah, It's all part and parcel of the same issue.
884
00:56:09.995 --> 00:56:12.555
It is and in fact, if I remember rightly,
885
00:56:12.615 --> 00:56:14.875
you may even have raised it in the first issue.
886
00:56:15.235 --> 00:56:18.635
Specific hearing I did that dealt with the DDCO way back in
887
00:56:19.065 --> 00:56:20.065
July. July.
888
00:56:20.065 --> 00:56:20.785
Yeah.
889
00:56:21.605 --> 00:56:26.325
Thank you sir. I think there may well be more
890
00:56:26.325 --> 00:56:28.845
clarity about what's happening on the marine side.
891
00:56:29.665 --> 00:56:32.565
Um, but yeah, certainly the land side it's,
892
00:56:32.755 --> 00:56:35.165
it's a lot less clear.
893
00:56:36.465 --> 00:56:41.365
So yeah. Um, as Mr.
894
00:56:41.845 --> 00:56:45.925
Indicated Article seven will need to dovetail with
895
00:56:45.925 --> 00:56:49.605
what ultimately happens with requirement seven, um,
896
00:56:49.745 --> 00:56:54.605
and the drawings, but I suspect we may
897
00:56:54.605 --> 00:56:56.325
well be an issue in the territory
898
00:56:56.345 --> 00:56:57.965
of reissuing those drawings.
899
00:57:11.375 --> 00:57:15.565
Right. Finally, back to the construction
900
00:57:15.565 --> 00:57:16.765
and environmental management plan.
901
00:57:16.865 --> 00:57:19.565
I'd forgotten that I'd made various post-it notes in a hard
902
00:57:19.565 --> 00:57:23.085
copy and just relying on my, my typed notes
903
00:57:33.625 --> 00:57:36.405
in section one four of the Kemp,

```
904
00:57:36.405 --> 00:57:40.685
which is rep 5 0 1 18, um,
905
00:57:41.275 --> 00:57:45.925
there's reference to, I think it's a total of eight, um,
906
00:57:46.055 --> 00:57:47.285
management documents,
907
00:57:48.625 --> 00:57:51.005
but those management documents don't appear in,
908
00:57:51.105 --> 00:57:55.605
in a draft form within the, with the, within
909
00:57:55.715 --> 00:57:57.405
what is now the draft Kemp.
910
00:57:59.225 --> 00:58:03.925
Um, So in order to be able to comply
911
00:58:04.705 --> 00:58:07.965
in finalizing the Kemp with an outline Kemp
912
00:58:07.965 --> 00:58:11.845
or draft Kemp, um, surely we would need to see
913
00:58:12.715 --> 00:58:15.685
some draft management plans because
914
00:58:15.685 --> 00:58:17.885
otherwise it will be unclear as to whether
915
00:58:17.905 --> 00:58:20.765
or not the final version of the Kemp
916
00:58:20.765 --> 00:58:23.685
that the council's being or a body was being asked,
917
00:58:23.705 --> 00:58:26.005
```

```
or bodies were being asked to approve.
918
00:58:26.205 --> 00:58:27.365
'cause we're gonna come to another point
919
00:58:27.365 --> 00:58:28.645
about the Kemp in a minute.
920
00:58:29.505 --> 00:58:31.485
Um, they would not know what it was
921
00:58:31.555 --> 00:58:34.845
that they were considering as the original outline
922
00:58:34.905 --> 00:58:38.285
to see whether or not there was broad accordance with
923
00:58:38.285 --> 00:58:39.965
what was coming forward in the final version.
924
00:58:48.115 --> 00:58:49.135
So really the question is,
925
00:58:49.135 --> 00:58:51.855
should eight draft management plans as listed
926
00:58:52.675 --> 00:58:55.255
in section one four of the Kemp, um,
927
00:58:55.835 --> 00:58:58.095
be submitted for the applicant
928
00:59:11.835 --> 00:59:12.865
James form for the applicant?
929
00:59:12.865 --> 00:59:14.505
So we're just identifying
930
00:59:14.505 --> 00:59:16.305
that there are some drafts already.
```

931
00:59:16.505 --> 00:59:20.185
I I'm just finding out which ones they are, where they are.
932
00:59:21.755 --> 00:59:22.925
Just if you can give me a moment.
933
00:59:23.085 --> 00:59:24.205
I dunno, you want to come back to that
934
00:59:45.955 --> 00:59:46.955
Mr. Green?
935
00:59:47.305 --> 00:59:49.215
Brian Greenwood for, uh, APP?
936
00:59:49.555 --> 00:59:52.895
So Graham Carling, uh, from ACOM is online.
937
00:59:53.195 --> 00:59:56.695
He has been coordinating the, uh, Kemp, uh,
938
00:59:56.755 --> 00:59:59.415
and it may well be that he can assist if, uh,
939
01:00:00.275 --> 01:00:03.055
the technology can, uh, take us there.
940
01:00:09.125 --> 01:00:12.315
Hello, sir? Yep. Graham. Carolyn, I, I I open it soon.
941
01:00:12.315 --> 01:00:13.315
You can hear me?
942
01:00:18.875 --> 01:00:20.455
Yes. Can you, are you able to assist
943
01:00:20.565 --> 01:00:22.055
with the question that's just been put?
944
01:00:23.955 --> 01:00:26.335

```
Yes. Uh, yeah, I can, I can. I, oh, sorry.
945
01:00:26.335 --> 01:00:29.615
I've got quite a bit of feedback so I'll, I'll,
946
01:00:29.685 --> 01:00:31.775
I'll continue as, as well as I can do.
947
01:00:32.795 --> 01:00:36.695
Um, with regards to the outline plans,
948
01:00:37.275 --> 01:00:41.975
one has been generated, which is sat within the, uh,
949
01:00:41.975 --> 01:00:43.495
the outline, uh, center at the moment.
950
01:00:43.495 --> 01:00:46.775
That is the, the outline site waste management plan.
951
01:00:47.035 --> 01:00:51.565
Um, I think regarding your wider question around whether
952
01:00:51.565 --> 01:00:53.965
or not outlined documents need to be included,
953
01:00:54.125 --> 01:00:57.285
I I think it will be my initial response would be one
954
01:00:57.285 --> 01:01:01.045
of proportionality and, and whether
955
01:01:01.045 --> 01:01:05.845
or not what is proposed within the draft, um,
956
01:01:05.945 --> 01:01:10.285
or outlined sent as it currently stands is, is
957
01:01:11.385 --> 01:01:15.395
sort of not uncommon, um, mitigation measures
```

```
958
01:01:15.395 --> 01:01:18.035
and control measures that that sort
959
01:01:18.035 --> 01:01:20.515
of the local authority now in this space would, wouldn't,
960
01:01:20.715 --> 01:01:23.715
wouldn't necessarily be familiar with.
961
01:01:23.935 --> 01:01:28.875
Um, so there would, it would, would be a case that you could
962
01:01:30.855 --> 01:01:34.465
propose and, and draft those plans at a point, um,
963
01:01:34.885 --> 01:01:36.825
and furnish them with, with the, uh,
964
01:01:37.995 --> 01:01:40.885
with a more detailed version of the, of the,
965
01:01:41.105 --> 01:01:42.565
the appropriate time for approval.
966
01:01:47.465 --> 01:01:49.405
I'm, I'm gonna ask Mr.
967
01:01:49.595 --> 01:01:52.965
Lier in a minute to, to make any observation,
968
01:01:53.225 --> 01:01:57.405
but I think at the very least, some sort of skeleton
969
01:01:58.025 --> 01:02:00.085
for the outline pla
970
01:02:00.355 --> 01:02:02.885
that are not included in the Kemp at the moment would need
971
01:02:02.885 --> 01:02:06.365
```

```
to be available because at least that would set the tone for
972
01:02:06.365 --> 01:02:10.165
what the council or any other discharging body involved
973
01:02:10.165 --> 01:02:11.765
with the camp might expect to see
974
01:02:17.775 --> 01:02:17.995
Mr.
975
01:02:18.095 --> 01:02:20.605
Cowing or anybody else who's in the room
976
01:02:20.705 --> 01:02:23.885
for the applicant views on at least generating some
977
01:02:24.045 --> 01:02:29.005
skeleton, um, type, um, outline documents
978
01:02:30.335 --> 01:02:31.565
James drawn for the applicant.
979
01:02:31.865 --> 01:02:35.605
Sir, I, I, I'm understand that that should be possible
980
01:02:43.245 --> 01:02:44.245
This list.
981
01:02:49.335 --> 01:02:54.255
I think it comes back to this dur Yeah, it to be referred.
982
01:02:55.995 --> 01:02:58.695
No, not if a split, If this a split
983
01:03:09.715 --> 01:03:12.295
And in, in terms of, uh, sort of timescale
984
01:03:12.315 --> 01:03:14.735
for producing the skeleton plans,
```

```
985
01:03:14.925 --> 01:03:17.735
when might these deadlines have achievable?
986
01:03:19.485 --> 01:03:21.615
Because, uh, we are running out
987
01:03:21.615 --> 01:03:23.135
of time within the examination.
988
01:03:23.605 --> 01:03:27.335
This is something that the council would potentially need
989
01:03:27.335 --> 01:03:29.935
to have, uh, sight of and possibly make comments on.
9 9 0
01:03:30.595 --> 01:03:35.255
Um, but also, uh, I keep on referring to other contending,
991
01:03:35.255 --> 01:03:38.375
but we, we might be, um, we'll come onto this in a minute,
992
01:03:39.045 --> 01:03:43.655
needing to think about the Kemp actually being split between
993
01:03:43.685 --> 01:03:48.135
what is a landside version and a marine version.
994
01:03:48.405 --> 01:03:52.415
Because there are elements within, um, the,
995
01:03:52.995 --> 01:03:56.575
the camp at the moment, which the council is being asked
996
01:03:56.595 --> 01:04:00.895
to approve the whole document, um, that are
997
01:04:01.285 --> 01:04:05.055
outside its jurisdiction, some of which are on the,
998
01:04:05.115 --> 01:04:09.135
```

```
the marine element are all within the MMOs jurisdiction.
9 9 9
01:04:10.195 --> 01:04:14.135
Um, and I'm would ex
1000
01:04:14.195 --> 01:04:16.135
and I think if I remember right, the li the Marine,
1001
01:04:16.395 --> 01:04:19.815
the draft marine license requires some approval
1002
01:04:19.995 --> 01:04:21.175
of ineffective Kemp.
1003
01:04:22.275 --> 01:04:27.095
Um, so it increasingly, it, it's coming
1004
01:04:27.095 --> 01:04:30.015
to the examining authorities' view that actually there needs
1005
01:04:30.015 --> 01:04:31.855
to be a split one that deals
1006
01:04:31.855 --> 01:04:33.695
with landside one that deals with Marine.
1007
01:04:35.565 --> 01:04:38.375
Just say that we're aware of the marine president
1008
01:04:41.565 --> 01:04:42.775
Just before, yeah, go on.
1009
01:04:42.955 --> 01:04:46.615
Uh, Mr. Limmer, have you got any observations on, on that?
1010
01:04:47.255 --> 01:04:50.775
'cause you've no doubt had a look at the outline camp
1011
01:04:50.775 --> 01:04:53.735
and you've had a look at requirement eight, um,
```

```
1012
01:04:54.195 --> 01:04:55.695
and everything at the moment in terms
1013
01:04:55.695 --> 01:04:58.615
of the approval is falling on your council.
1014
01:04:59.875 --> 01:05:00.895
Uh, thank you, sir. Yeah, Richard
1015
01:05:00.895 --> 01:05:02.055
Limmer from Northeast Links Council.
1016
01:05:02.325 --> 01:05:05.575
Yeah, I think firstly we'd welcome sort of the outline, um,
1017
01:05:06.185 --> 01:05:08.495
forms of those, uh, plans.
1018
01:05:09.075 --> 01:05:11.895
Um, and secondly, I think we would very much prefer it
1019
01:05:11.995 --> 01:05:14.655
to be a single document for us that we only deal
1020
01:05:14.655 --> 01:05:16.815
with the on land and our jurisdiction.
1021
01:05:17.265 --> 01:05:20.125
Uh, I don't think we want to be complicated by, um,
1022
01:05:20.585 --> 01:05:23.405
the marine element being included in, in something
1023
01:05:23.405 --> 01:05:26.165
that we would approve and then potentially have to enforce.
1024
01:05:27.015 --> 01:05:28.015
Thank you.
1025
01:05:30.575 --> 01:05:32.145
```

It's particularly the enforcement issue
1026
01:05:32.145 --> 01:05:34.305
that was raising concerns with me
1027
01:05:34.305 --> 01:05:38.545
because you don't have jurisdiction, uh, for the applicant.
1028
01:05:42.405 --> 01:05:43.905
So James drawn for the applicant.
1029
01:05:44.245 --> 01:05:46.825
Um, I'm instructed that that is
1030
01:05:47.815 --> 01:05:51.425
something we can do separated into the two
1031
01:05:53.075 --> 01:05:57.065
forms and, uh, I can't, uh,
1032
01:05:57.075 --> 01:05:58.225
maybe Mr.
1033
01:05:58.685 --> 01:06:01.385
Cowing can comment on the timing,
1034
01:06:01.605 --> 01:06:05.385
but I don't anticipate a difficulty in principle
1035
01:06:05.385 --> 01:06:08.705
with providing, as you say, the skeletal content of a
1036
01:06:10.115 --> 01:06:11.345
individual plan.
1037
01:06:11.585 --> 01:06:15.705
I think in fact the certainly so far as the remediation
1038
01:06:17.105 --> 01:06:19.505
strategy site, waste management plan

```
1039
01:06:20.365 --> 01:06:25.045
and drainage strategy, there are already versions
1040
01:06:25.045 --> 01:06:29.245
of those, which I think have been referred to in,
1041
01:06:30.945 --> 01:06:34.765
if I've got the references right, APP oh nine three,
1042
01:06:35.245 --> 01:06:36.445
APP 100.
1043
01:06:39.065 --> 01:06:40.925
And the site work management plan,
1044
01:06:41.045 --> 01:06:43.925
I think was probably in the, um,
1045
01:06:45.035 --> 01:06:48.885
SEMS itself that was previously, it's already
1046
01:06:48.885 --> 01:06:52.245
before the, um, examining authorities,
1047
01:06:52.345 --> 01:06:55.765
but in terms of lifting those into
1048
01:06:56.105 --> 01:06:58.845
or formulating them into skeletal forms, as to the
1049
01:06:59.535 --> 01:07:03.405
topic heads of the em, I don't, uh, uh, subject to what Mr.
1050
01:07:03.405 --> 01:07:04.885
Cowing says about timing,
1051
01:07:05.405 --> 01:07:06.925
I think there's no difficulty in principle,
1052
01:07:09.345 --> 01:07:10.345
```

```
Uh, Mr. Yeah.
1053
01:07:10.345 --> 01:07:12.645
Graham planner on behalf of the applicant. Yes.
1054
01:07:12.745 --> 01:07:15.965
Um, we will, we will sort of take that away, uh,
1055
01:07:15.965 --> 01:07:17.245
but we'll endeavor to, uh,
1056
01:07:17.585 --> 01:07:20.845
to provide those skeleton doc documents via deadline seven.
1057
01:07:28.885 --> 01:07:30.385
And Mr. Cowley in terms of,
1058
01:07:31.245 --> 01:07:34.625
in effect producing two separate standalone documents,
1059
01:07:34.645 --> 01:07:37.905
any idea what the timescale for, uh,
1060
01:07:38.785 --> 01:07:41.145
creating two standalone versions might be
1061
01:07:44.005 --> 01:07:45.005
Mr. Cowen on
1062
01:07:45.005 --> 01:07:46.665
behalf and on behalf of the applicant?
1063
01:07:46.765 --> 01:07:50.065
Um, I, I will sort, I request if I could take that away, um,
1064
01:07:50.325 --> 01:07:54.745
and, and just provide a, um, a response maybe by the end
1065
01:07:54.745 --> 01:07:56.145
of today through, uh, through those
```

1066
01:07:56.145 --> 01:07:58.625
that are more present in the, in the,
1067
01:07:58.805 --> 01:08:00.905
in the hearing itself, if that's, uh, yep.
1068
01:08:00.925 --> 01:08:02.305
If that's permissible, yep.
1069
01:08:05.405 --> 01:08:06.945
As a, as a general point,
1070
01:08:07.095 --> 01:08:11.665
because the change request is hopefully being lodged as far
1071
01:08:11.665 --> 01:08:15.365
as the applicant's concerned next Wednesday, I think, um,
1072
01:08:16.035 --> 01:08:18.205
that potentially means we are going to have,
1073
01:08:18.505 --> 01:08:21.485
if we accept the change, make some revisions
1074
01:08:21.585 --> 01:08:25.285
to the examination timetable to accommodate the ability for
1075
01:08:25.625 --> 01:08:27.525
to people to comment formally on the changes
1076
01:08:28.465 --> 01:08:30.325
and allow, um, exchanges.
1077
01:08:31.025 --> 01:08:34.725
Uh, so it might well be that in respect
1078
01:08:34.725 --> 01:08:38.205
of this particular issue, again, we will have in mind
1079
01:08:38.505 --> 01:08:41.445

```
as when we recast the timetable, if that's
```

1080
01:08:41.445 --> 01:08:44.525
what we find necessary to do that we'll,
1081
01:08:44.525 --> 01:08:47.085
we'll incorporate something that hopefully makes sense
1082
01:08:47.625 --> 01:08:50.245
in terms of having these submitted
1083
01:08:50.505 --> 01:08:54.125
and then enabling parties to have an opportunity
1084
01:08:54.125 --> 01:08:57.885
to comment on them, um, within a,
1085
01:08:58.005 --> 01:08:59.245
a reasonable amount of time.
1086
01:09:01.505 --> 01:09:04.445
Uh, Mr. Owen, you are indicating that you had a comment.
1087
01:09:05.545 --> 01:09:07.445
Uh, thank you sir. Robbie Owen for CODN.
1088
01:09:07.625 --> 01:09:11.845
Um, I, I, I welcome the, um, statement from the applicant
1089
01:09:11.845 --> 01:09:15.525
that they're prepared to, uh, prepare two separate
1090
01:09:16.725 --> 01:09:18.605
construction environmental management plans because
1091
01:09:18.605 --> 01:09:23.165
otherwise the situation certainly rises in theory that, um,
1092
01:09:24.575 --> 01:09:27.805
based on the wording we currently see

```
1093
01:09:28.395 --> 01:09:29.685
both in schedule two
1094
01:09:29.685 --> 01:09:34.165
and schedule three, that in effect, um,
1095
01:09:37.245 --> 01:09:40.975
because, um, any camp required under Schedule two
1096
01:09:41.115 --> 01:09:43.095
and under schedule three can be
1097
01:09:43.095 --> 01:09:44.255
comprised in the same document.
1098
01:09:44.255 --> 01:09:46.695
That's the current wording. What then happens if under
1099
01:09:46.895 --> 01:09:50.215
schedule two, the camp is approved by the council,
1100
01:09:50.475 --> 01:09:52.695
but under schedule three, the MMO don't approve it.
1101
01:09:52.835 --> 01:09:54.935
Um, so it avoids that sort of issue.
1102
01:09:54.955 --> 01:09:59.735
But my, my question is, um, if that issue goes away,
1103
01:09:59.735 --> 01:10:03.015
which it will do, um, are we contemplating, uh,
1104
01:10:03.015 --> 01:10:06.375
or rather what are we contemplating in terms of the status
1105
01:10:06.675 --> 01:10:08.935
of the skeletons that you've asked for?
1106
01:10:08.935 --> 01:10:12.055
```

Because at the moment we see the provision both in schedule
1107
01:10:12.075 --> 01:10:16.135
two and schedule three, that, um, any, um,
1108
01:10:16.965 --> 01:10:19.855
Kemp submitted and approved must be in accordance
1109
01:10:19.855 --> 01:10:21.015
with the outline Kemp.
1110
01:10:21.475 --> 01:10:24.855
Um, the question is, are we contemplating
1111
01:10:25.325 --> 01:10:30.255
that wording being, um, augmented to refer as well,
1112
01:10:30.595 --> 01:10:32.775
as well as to the outline, Kemp to the skeletons?
1113
01:10:34.155 --> 01:10:36.455
Or is that a matter that the applicant's gonna consider?
1114
01:10:36.475 --> 01:10:37.475
I'm not entirely sure
1115
01:10:38.395 --> 01:10:40.575
If the applicant doesn't, we certainly will, yes.
1116
01:10:40.835 --> 01:10:44.495
Um, because yeah, there will need to be something, um,
1117
01:10:44.875 --> 01:10:48.735
almost a two tiering in there that, um, as I see it,
1118
01:10:48.985 --> 01:10:52.735
there would be a need to be within broad accordance
1119
01:10:52.805 --> 01:10:56.855
with the Kemp, but in effect, having regard to, um,

1120
01:10:57.075 --> 01:10:59.215
the individual management plans, um,
1121
01:11:00.935 --> 01:11:03.895
covering the matters within the skeletons were to
1122
01:11:03.895 --> 01:11:05.935
that effect, that's quite clumsy.
1123
01:11:05.955 --> 01:11:09.855
But, uh, I, no doubt amongst us, we will, we will get
1124
01:11:09.855 --> 01:11:12.335
to a form of words, uh, when the Examin authority goes away
1125
01:11:12.335 - -> 01:11:16.965
and does its bit next week, Mr. Strong, James Strong
1126
01:11:16.965 --> 01:11:17.965
For the applicant? Yes, sir.
1127
01:11:17.965 --> 01:11:19.485
We can, we agree
1128
01:11:37.315 --> 01:11:38.375
Mr. Bradley is going
1129
01:11:38.375 --> 01:11:40.655
to use his voice on a very limited basis.
1130
01:11:41.285 --> 01:11:44.895
It's not that bad. Um, it, it, a, it's just a, a, a,
1131
01:11:45.055 --> 01:11:49.175
a prompt that, uh, the archeological, um, WSI needs
1132
01:11:49.175 --> 01:11:50.935
to be included in that Marina.
1133
$01: 12: 20.975 \rightarrow->01: 12: 23.255$

I would also suggest that both
1134
01:12:24.375 --> 01:12:28.555
and it, it, it particularly for, um, the landside Kemp
1135
01:12:29.345 --> 01:12:34.115
that again, that follows the, the pattern that, um,
1136
01:12:35.965 --> 01:12:38.445
a final document for approval is submitted to the council,
1137
01:12:39.025 --> 01:12:40.165
it does its thing
1138
01:12:40.185 --> 01:12:44.445
and then embedded within the requirement is the, the need
1139
01:12:44.445 --> 01:12:47.645
to actually abide by the approved document.
1140
01:12:49.945 --> 01:12:52.645
Yep. Have, because that then we're gonna come onto some
1141
01:12:52.645 --> 01:12:54.725
implications for requirement 15 in a minute,
1142
01:12:55.865 --> 01:12:58.245
but we're gonna have to stop at requirement 11, I think.
1143
01:12:58.305 --> 01:13:02.925
No. So requirement nine for, but
1144
01:13:02.925 --> 01:13:05.525
before I do that, has anybody got any further comment on the
1145
01:13:05.675 --> 01:13:08.725
Kemp or Kemps ips?
1146
01:13:22.545 --> 01:13:26.045
So if I may rob Owen from CRDN, just one final comment.

1147
01:13:26.305 --> 01:13:28.605
Um, again, it was, uh,
1148
01:13:28.785 $\rightarrow$ 01:13:32.805
set out in our deadline six submission rep 6036 ,
1149
01:13:33.585 $\rightarrow$ 01:13:36.485
uh, in the Appendix one dealing with the DCO, um,
1150
01:13:36.745 --> 01:13:38.805
at paragraph 1516.
1151
01:13:39.425 --> 01:13:42.965
Um, and, and we, uh, again
1152
01:13:44.475 $\rightarrow$ 01:13:48.165
Pointed Out in our view the inappropriateness
1153
01:13:48.165 - 01:13:50.405
of the addition of a tail piece to the requirement.
1154
01:13:50.905 --> 01:13:55.845
So if I could just draw that submission in paragraph 16
1155
01:13:55.845 --> 01:13:58.125
of appendix one to your attention, please.
1156
01:14:05.465 --> 01:14:08.685
The same, same point arises in Schedule three as well. Yeah,
1157
01:14:09.515 --> 01:14:10.925
Generally on tail pieces,
1158
01:14:11.225 --> 01:14:13.605
we probably will be lopping them.
1159
01:14:14.545 --> 01:14:18.245
Um, that does not mean that once a document's been approved,
1160
01:14:18.385 $\rightarrow$ 01:14:21.365

```
say the Kemp, um, as works progress,
1161
01:14:21.825 --> 01:14:23.685
the applicant resubmits a Kemp
1162
01:14:24.065 --> 01:14:27.485
or part of a Kemp to address something that may have arisen
1163
01:14:27.485 --> 01:14:28.485
during construction.
1164
01:14:29.425 --> 01:14:33.245
Uh, but it goes through a full approval process either
1165
01:14:33.465 --> 01:14:35.925
for the entire chem or part of a chem,
1166
01:14:36.425 --> 01:14:39.565
but it, it means it's, it's done in a formal manner, not,
1167
01:14:39.865 --> 01:14:44.525
um, piece of paper in a, a brown envelope type approach.
1168
01:15:06.455 --> 01:15:07.945
Yeah. Mr. Harrison is,
1169
01:15:08.005 --> 01:15:12.345
is correctly whispering my ear in, in terms of Consultees,
1170
01:15:13.485 --> 01:15:17.305
um, for the different camp,
1171
01:15:17.565 --> 01:15:20.465
we probably will need some assistance to make so
1172
01:15:20.465 --> 01:15:22.225
that it's clear who the,
1173
01:15:22.365 --> 01:15:24.825
who should be consulted in respect of each one.
```

```
1174
01:15:25.245 --> 01:15:29.145
For instance, the, the Marine Kemp, I suppose
1175
01:15:29.305 --> 01:15:31.865
although the council doesn't have jurisdiction over the
1176
01:15:31.865 --> 01:15:36.705
works, you would still want to see, um, at the final stage
1177
01:15:36.765 --> 01:15:40.545
and be consulted on it to ensure there's consistency with
1178
01:15:40.545 --> 01:15:45.225
what, uh, is in it versus what you are seeing, um,
1179
01:15:45.725 --> 01:15:47.065
in the landside version.
1180
01:15:48.045 --> 01:15:50.385
Um, but there may be some other bodies, uh,
1181
01:15:50.385 --> 01:15:53.705
that don't necessarily need to be included for consultation.
1182
01:15:54.335 --> 01:15:55.345
Rail Track, for instance.
1183
01:15:55.925 --> 01:16:00.425
Um, sorry, network rail.
1184
01:16:00.705 --> 01:16:02.945
I, I, I did work not for,
1185
01:16:03.045 --> 01:16:05.465
but concerning Rail Trek many, I mean, it just,
1186
01:16:05.845 --> 01:16:06.905
it will not go away.
1187
01:16:07.525 --> 01:16:11.305
```

Um, but network rail, for instance, would not need
1188
01:16:11.305 --> 01:16:14.065
to be a consultee for the Marine one.
1189
01:16:14.125 --> 01:16:19.045
So, um, but
1190
01:16:19.045 --> 01:16:22.605
there are issues about, uh, the Harbor
1191
01:16:24.115 --> 01:16:27.445
authorities as to whether they need to be consulted
1192
01:16:27.665 --> 01:16:29.565
and which Harbor Authority needs
1193
01:16:29.565 --> 01:16:34.445
to be consulted on which version or which, which, which Kemp
1194
01:16:40.795 --> 01:16:43.565
miss any, any observations on behalf of the Harbor master?
1195
01:16:44.265 --> 01:16:47.645
Um, I mean we, we, we, we presume
1196
01:16:47.645 --> 01:16:48.925
that you would not wish
1197
01:16:48.985 --> 01:16:52.085
to be involved in anything in respect to the land side.
1198
01:16:52.585 --> 01:16:55.485
Yes, but potentially you should be a consultee for
1199
01:16:56.265 --> 01:16:57.405
the Marine one
1200
01:16:57.595 --> 01:17:00.245
because at the moment, I don't think, no, you're not listed.

```
1201
01:17:01.225 --> 01:17:03.605
No. Uh, Victoria Hutton for the Harbor Master, sir,
1202
01:17:03.945 --> 01:17:05.365
can we take that away?
1203
01:17:05.985 --> 01:17:08.965
Um, 'cause it's not something we've been focusing on our,
1204
01:17:09.045 --> 01:17:10.085
our protective provisions, which
1205
01:17:10.085 --> 01:17:11.565
of course would overlap to an extent.
1206
01:17:12.265 --> 01:17:14.525
Um, so can we take that away
1207
01:17:14.525 --> 01:17:15.885
and come back to you whether we need
1208
01:17:15.885 --> 01:17:18.165
to be formally consulted on the camp?
1209
01:17:19.125 --> 01:17:21.125
I mean, that might usefully be something
1210
01:17:21.125 --> 01:17:23.085
that can also be looked at in the note
1211
01:17:23.085 --> 01:17:24.965
that we talked about two days ago.
1212
01:17:24.965 --> 01:17:29.005
Was it now, in terms of which
1213
01:17:29.005 --> 01:17:31.085
of the Harbor authorities is are going to be doing
1214
01:17:31.155 --> 01:17:34.885
```

```
what in terms of authorizations, um,
1215
01:17:36.855 --> 01:17:38.725
under their relevant, under the,
1216
01:17:38.985 --> 01:17:40.645
the respective bits of the legislation?
1217
01:17:41.505 --> 01:17:46.445
Um, because there is ally to this, there is a question as
1218
01:17:46.445 --> 01:17:48.845
to whether or not sta the Har Harbor authority
1219
01:17:48.945 --> 01:17:52.405
for Immingham, given its statutory role, would need
1220
01:17:52.405 --> 01:17:56.725
to be a consultee in respect of, um, the Marine Kemp
1221
01:17:56.745 --> 01:17:59.045
and possibly the Landside Kemp as well.
1222
01:17:59.385 --> 01:17:59.605
Um,
1223
01:18:10.855 --> 01:18:11.985
what, what was Mr.
1224
01:18:12.095 --> 01:18:14.785
Greenwood saying something that needs to be relayed to us or
1225
01:18:14.925 --> 01:18:15.925
Not?
1226
01:18:16.445 --> 01:18:17.825
Not necessarily, no, I,
1227
01:18:17.895 --> 01:18:19.345
I've just James Storm with the applicant.
```

```
1228
01:18:19.345 --> 01:18:21.505
I'll just confirm what, we'll, we'll have a look at that,
1229
01:18:22.455 --> 01:18:23.585
that assist.
1230
01:18:25.465 --> 01:18:27.385
I, I think probably what will happen is
1231
01:18:27.385 --> 01:18:32.065
that when we produce our not quite so final, uh,
1232
01:18:32.325 --> 01:18:36.105
set of recommendations for the, um, the order, this
1233
01:18:36.105 --> 01:18:37.785
for instance might be an area that we'll just leave as,
1234
01:18:38.045 --> 01:18:42.025
as a bank box, or we might leave some dots in it, um,
1235
01:18:42.485 --> 01:18:44.785
as an indicator that there may need to be changes
1236
01:18:44.885 --> 01:18:48.905
of personnel or organizations, um, to ensure
1237
01:18:48.905 --> 01:18:51.385
that the right people are being consulted on the right
1238
01:18:51.385 --> 01:18:54.465
version of, of, of the two documents.
1239
01:18:57.055 --> 01:18:58.945
Just a, a, a prompt here that, uh,
1240
01:18:59.195 --> 01:19:02.905
there was a representation that, uh, deadline four
1241
01:19:03.055 --> 01:19:07.825
```

```
that you were looking into the correlation of, uh, Ken with,
1242
01:19:07.965 --> 01:19:11.065
uh, uh, w with, um,
1243
01:19:12.885 --> 01:19:13.985
Harbor Works orders.
1244
01:19:14.565 --> 01:19:18.745
Uh, and I think that it's fair to say
1245
01:19:18.745 --> 01:19:20.945
that didn't arrive any of the deadline five
1246
01:19:20.965 --> 01:19:22.585
or deadline six submission.
1247
01:19:22.585 --> 01:19:24.625
So I think could, could we just ask you
1248
01:19:24.625 --> 01:19:27.025
to put that into the mix?
1249
01:19:29.485 --> 01:19:31.385
Yes, James, from the applicant? We will do.
1250
01:19:31.445 --> 01:19:34.145
I'm sorry if we hadn't, uh, provided anything yet.
1251
01:19:39.815 --> 01:19:41.055
Anything else on the Kemp
1252
01:19:41.155 --> 01:19:44.255
before we, we head off onto another requirement?
1253
01:19:47.035 --> 01:19:50.455
Uh, Robbie Aaron from CLDN, um, I have a point,
1254
01:19:50.565 --> 01:19:52.055
it's not specific to the Kemp,
```

```
1255
01:19:52.055 --> 01:19:53.375
but it's arisen in the context
1256
01:19:53.395 --> 01:19:57.095
of the discussion we've just had about the, the,
1257
01:19:57.175 --> 01:20:01.615
the various different sort of hats within the applicant and,
1258
01:20:01.755 --> 01:20:06.015
and how they are to be reflected in the,
1259
01:20:06.875 --> 01:20:07.875
In the dco. Is that,
1260
01:20:07.875 --> 01:20:08.605
is that a point we
1261
01:20:08.605 --> 01:20:09.765
Should raise under A0B?
1262
01:20:09.765 --> 01:20:12.405
Perhaps, perhaps,
1263
01:20:12.585 --> 01:20:17.205
or we might get to it another requirement and questions.
1264
01:20:17.465 --> 01:20:19.365
Um, thank you, sir, but yeah,
1265
01:20:25.695 --> 01:20:26.045
right.
1266
01:20:26.265 --> 01:20:28.925
I'd like to have a quick look then at requirement nine,
1267
01:20:28.975 --> 01:20:30.765
which is surface water drainage
1268
01:20:30.785 --> 01:20:32.645
```

```
or a surface water drainage strategy,
1269
01:20:33.255 --> 01:20:35.045
which involves the internal, well, one
1270
01:20:35.045 --> 01:20:36.405
of the internal drainage boards.
1271
01:20:37.585 --> 01:20:40.485
Um, sorry, I'm having to read some pretty scruffy notes
1272
01:20:40.485 --> 01:20:41.045
that I made
1273
01:20:47.515 --> 01:20:49.015
in, in subsection
1274
01:20:49.595 --> 01:20:51.575
or subparagraph one, we,
1275
01:20:51.635 --> 01:20:53.975
we have a slightly strange arrangement
1276
01:20:54.605 --> 01:20:56.775
that the drainage board is being consulted
1277
01:20:56.875 --> 01:20:58.255
and then it's being asked to approve.
1278
01:20:59.275 --> 01:21:02.735
Um, surely the consultation stage is unnecessary.
1279
01:21:03.475 --> 01:21:06.895
It is just going to be presented with something that
1280
01:21:07.455 --> 01:21:10.335
approval, um, should or should not be granted.
1281
01:21:11.235 --> 01:21:16.135
Um, but that seems just slightly unnecessary to incorporate,
```

```
1282
01:21:16.315 --> 01:21:19.295
um, a sub stage
1283
01:21:19.295 --> 01:21:21.575
before it's formally doing what it's required to do.
1284
01:21:24.675 --> 01:21:27.345
James tro for the applicant's, uh, yes, we can see that
1285
01:21:28.165 --> 01:21:32.505
as it, it may be that the undertaker chooses to speak
1286
01:21:32.505 --> 01:21:34.945
to the drainage board before, um, with a view,
1287
01:21:35.445 --> 01:21:40.225
but yes, as a requirement for consultation, uh, we agree
1288
01:21:40.615 --> 01:21:42.625
Because actually in this requirement there,
1289
01:21:42.625 --> 01:21:45.585
there's some wording that when I looked at it, I thought
1290
01:21:46.105 --> 01:21:47.945
actually was this originally intended
1291
01:21:48.845 --> 01:21:50.185
as a protective provision
1292
01:21:51.045 --> 01:21:54.265
and it's then found its way as a requirement
1293
01:21:54.265 --> 01:21:56.425
because at the end of subparagraph one,
1294
01:21:56.425 --> 01:22:00.385
we've got such approval not to be unreasonably withheld.
1295
01:22:01.245 --> 01:22:03.785
```

Um, I would suggest that needs to be struck.
1296
01:22:05.125 --> 01:22:08.625
Um, the drainage boards will either approve
1297
01:22:08.685 --> 01:22:11.665
or it won't, if it doesn't approve,
1298
01:22:12.345 --> 01:22:15.585
I it refuses there's a right of appeal, um,
1299
01:22:15.815 --> 01:22:17.905
through the Secretary State through the,
1300
01:22:17.905 --> 01:22:19.225
the appeal mechanism, um,
1301
01:22:19.375 --> 01:22:21.705
that follows him later on in, in schedule two.
1302
01:22:26.125 --> 01:22:26.825
Mr. Greenman,
1303
01:22:27.395 --> 01:22:28.395
Thank you sir. Brian Greenwood
1304
01:22:28.395 --> 01:22:30.585
for AVP if it assists.
1305
01:22:30.585 --> 01:22:34.265
Uh, we, we are actually still in discussion with the, uh,
1306
01:22:34.265 --> 01:22:36.905
drainage board with the regard to this particular provision,
1307
01:22:37.245 --> 01:22:39.305
uh, correspondence with is with them
1308
01:22:39.485 --> 01:22:42.505
and we are in the position of, um, chasing them.

1309
01:22:43.645 --> 01:22:46.505
Uh, but the points are noted and we'll come back to that
1310
01:22:47.215 --> 01:22:48.545
Because following on,
1311
01:22:48.545 $\rightarrow$ 01:22:52.745
and it may feed into that dialogue, um, subparagraph
1312
01:22:53.595 --> 01:22:58.145
three does look like a protective provision type, um,
1313
01:22:58.985 --> 01:23:02.105
situation where, um,
1314
01:23:02.105 $\rightarrow$-> 01:23:04.585
basically if there's an obstruction you've got then the
1315
01:23:04.585 --> 01:23:06.045
applicant's or the undertakers got
1316
01:23:06.045 --> 01:23:07.445
to do something about it to clear it.

1317
01:23:08.425 --> 01:23:12.365
Um, that seems rather an odd thing to be
1318
01:23:13.165 $\rightarrow$-> 01:23:14.365
embedded in, in a requirement,
1319
01:23:16.785 --> 01:23:19.325
Sir Brian Greenwood for, uh, associated Bridge Report.
1320
01:23:19.385 --> 01:23:21.285
You're quite right sir, and that is one of the points
1321
01:23:21.285 --> 01:23:24.085
of discussion with the drainage board at this very moment.
1322
01:23:26.705 $\rightarrow$ 01:23:28.085

Do you have a handle on
1323
01:23:28.085 --> 01:23:30.885
how long those negotiations might take to get something
1324
01:23:31.925 --> 01:23:34.925
resolved so that we know what the direction travel is?
1325
01:23:36.145 --> 01:23:38.405
Uh, Ryan Greenwood for ABP I've,
1326
01:23:38.405 --> 01:23:41.205
I've just looked back at my client, so, uh, both he
1327
01:23:41.205 --> 01:23:44.645
and I have been trying to get a early response.
1328
01:23:44.715 --> 01:23:46.285
This has been going on for some time.
1329
01:23:46.785 --> 01:23:49.765
Um, as you have now raised a question specifically
1330
01:23:49.765 --> 01:23:52.845
that will assist me in my next letter to the drainage board,
1331
01:23:53.975 --> 01:23:55.845
Would, would it assist you if
1332
01:23:55.945 --> 01:23:57.485
as an action arising outta this hearing,
1333
01:23:58.345 --> 01:24:03.045
we insist on a response, a must response by deadline seven?
1334
01:24:03.475 --> 01:24:04.805
That was certainly sir.
1335
01:24:06.505 --> 01:24:09.365
Uh, the word must goes in capitals in bold. Yes.

```
1336
01:24:53.095 --> 01:24:55.035
The next requirement I had, um,
1337
01:24:55.255 --> 01:24:59.155
for consideration is requirement 11, which, well,
1338
01:24:59.155 --> 01:25:01.475
it's titled Environmental Enhancement,
1339
01:25:02.895 --> 01:25:06.355
but it's all about the woodland, um, management.
1340
01:25:07.675 --> 01:25:09.475
I think it probably needs to be retitled
1341
01:25:10.385 --> 01:25:11.475
just to deal with Woodland.
1342
01:25:20.235 --> 01:25:25.055
Um, and probably it, it,
1343
01:25:25.195 --> 01:25:29.295
it can be simplified in that a final version of the wemp
1344
01:25:29.835 --> 01:25:32.255
is submitted to the council for approval
1345
01:25:33.515 --> 01:25:36.855
and, um, it is then implemented in accordance
1346
01:25:36.855 --> 01:25:38.855
with the details approved by the council.
1347
01:25:43.315 --> 01:25:45.455
Oh, and somewhere in there that, um, needs
1348
01:25:45.455 --> 01:25:47.095
to be submitted in writing and approved.
1349
01:25:47.915 --> 01:25:51.455
```

Um, I'm getting nods. I can see from Mr.
1350
01:25:51.455 --> 01:25:53.375
Limmer in terms of general support for
1351
01:25:53.375 --> 01:25:56.015
that approach. Mr. Lier?
1352
01:25:56.635 --> 01:25:57.735
Uh, yes. Thank you sir. Richard
1353
01:25:57.735 --> 01:25:58.975
Limmer from Northeast Links Council.
1354
01:25:59.005 --> 01:26:00.535
Yeah, I would agree with that approach.
1355
01:26:00.595 --> 01:26:04.565
It might also be useful if that specifies, um, timing
1356
01:26:04.705 --> 01:26:07.565
for actually doing it so that it's very clear that they have
1357
01:26:07.565 --> 01:26:09.205
to provide a, a timing for the planting.
1358
01:26:09.895 --> 01:26:10.895
Thank you
1359
01:26:27.125 --> 01:26:30.425
Mr. Strong J James TRO for the applicant.
1360
01:26:30.485 --> 01:26:34.705
The, the timing provision relates to, um, prior
1361
01:26:34.865 --> 01:26:36.745
to operation of the development
1362
01:26:36.965 --> 01:26:39.865
and I hope that provides sufficient clarity.

```
1363
01:26:41.145 --> 01:26:42.265
I, I think the point that Mr.
1364
01:26:42.285 --> 01:26:46.945
Limmer might have been is in amongst the details there needs
1365
01:26:46.945 --> 01:26:48.585
to be an effective planting schedule
1366
01:26:48.685 --> 01:26:50.265
or work schedule, so it makes it clear
1367
01:26:51.015 --> 01:26:52.145
what happens on the ground.
1368
01:26:57.955 --> 01:26:59.825
Thank you, sir. Yes, that wa that was my intention.
1369
01:26:59.875 --> 01:27:00.875
Thank you.
1370
01:27:04.545 --> 01:27:07.065
Anything further from the applicant on requirement 11?
1371
01:27:08.205 --> 01:27:10.665
Uh, Brian Greenwell for ABP sir, we'll, uh,
1372
01:27:10.665 --> 01:27:14.265
discuss precisely the, uh, requirements, uh,
1373
01:27:14.285 --> 01:27:15.305
of the council with Mr.
1374
01:27:15.325 --> 01:27:16.465
Limmer before he leaves
1375
01:27:17.195 --> 01:27:18.195
Today.
1376
01:27:50.145 --> 01:27:53.885
```

And then looking at requirement 15 , which is construction
1377
01:27:53.885 --> 01:27:57.925
and operational plans, if changes are made to
1378
01:27:58.645 --> 01:28:03.285
a number of the, um, requirements that proceed, um,
1379
01:28:04.155 --> 01:28:06.685
this requirement, construction environment management plan,
1380
01:28:06.815 --> 01:28:11.205
which becomes fully, um, self-contained, IE
1381
01:28:11.825 --> 01:28:14.805
get approvals implement in accordance with approvals.
1382
01:28:16.425 --> 01:28:19.965
No need to refer to Kemp in this requirement.
1383
01:28:21.075 --> 01:28:23.845
Similarly, uh, no need to refer
1384
01:28:23.905 --> 01:28:26.645
to the Woodland Enhancement Management Plan
1385
01:28:27.405 --> 01:28:30.765
'cause that will all be self-contained, um,
1386
01:28:34.425 --> 01:28:36.705
drainage strategy I think is dealt with elsewhere.
1387
01:28:38.005 --> 01:28:40.705
So that in effect then I think just leaves
1388
01:28:43.095 --> 01:28:44.945
item D, the flood risk assessment
1389
01:28:45.285 --> 01:28:48.745
as being the only operative thing that needs to be covered

1390
01:28:48.845 --> 01:28:51.665
by this requirement, which then potentially means
1391
01:28:51.665 --> 01:28:56.225
that this requirement becomes a flood risk requirement.
1392
01:28:56.525 $\rightarrow$ 01:29:00.225
Um, and isn't, um, as currently titled,
1393
01:29:03.545 --> 01:29:06.445
any observations on that from the applicant first
1394
01:29:07.415 --> 01:29:09.445
James for the, sorry, excuse me.
1395
01:29:09.445 - 01:29:11.085
James Strong for the applicant? Yes sir.
1396
01:29:11.145 --> 01:29:15.005
We agree with that analysis, the, as you've identified,

1397
01:29:24.125 --> 01:29:26.215
Well we all need to stretch our legs for a little while,
1398
01:29:26.315 --> 01:29:30.295
so, um,
1399
01:29:31.295 --> 01:29:33.335
I think we'll just finish off requirement 15.
1400
01:29:33.395 --> 01:29:35.215
We will then take a break

1401
01:29:35.415 --> 01:29:36.895
'cause we, we've been going for an hour and a half.
1402
01:29:37.675 --> 01:29:41.575
Um, and that would be a convenient stopping point.
1403
01:29:42.475 --> 01:29:44.335

```
And, uh, so James TRO for the applicant,
1404
01:29:44.455 --> 01:29:45.815
I think I was mid-sentence,
1405
01:29:45.875 --> 01:29:48.815
but uh, it wasn't going to be much more of a sentence
1406
01:29:48.815 --> 01:29:52.495
because we were expressing agreement with your analysis
1407
01:29:53.045 --> 01:29:56.575
once one had modified the other requirements in the way
1408
01:29:56.575 --> 01:29:57.855
that you had suggested.
1409
01:29:58.195 --> 01:30:01.415
Indeed, it does become limited to, uh,
1410
01:30:01.435 --> 01:30:02.615
the flood risk assessment
1411
01:30:02.715 --> 01:30:04.455
and would need retitling to that effect.
1412
01:30:08.015 --> 01:30:09.615
Anything from the council in that respect?
1413
01:30:10.035 --> 01:30:12.135
It, it, it seems to make sense, but
1414
01:30:12.675 --> 01:30:13.815
unless you've spotted anything
1415
01:30:13.815 --> 01:30:15.415
that doesn't make sense doing it that way.
1416
01:30:16.515 --> 01:30:17.855
Uh, thank you sir. Richard Liman,
```

1417
01:30:17.855 --> 01:30:18.975
Northeast Links Council will know that,
1418
01:30:18.975 --> 01:30:20.015
that makes sense to me as well.
1419
01:30:20.015 $\rightarrow$ 01:30:21.375
Thank you. And anything from any
1420
01:30:21.375 --> 01:30:22.775
of the other ips in that regard?
1421
01:30:25.035 --> 01:30:26.175
Not seeing anything. Okay.
1422
01:30:34.125 - 01:30:37.665
Um, I think that then does bring us to a convenient time
1423
01:30:37.765 --> 01:30:42.545
for an adjournment around if, if we say resume at, uh,
1424
01:30:42.685 --> 01:30:45.345
10 to 12 , which is just shy of 20 minutes.
1425
01:30:45.525 --> 01:30:49.425
Is, is that agreeable? Okay.
1426
01:30:49.495 --> 01:30:52.385
Hearing is therefore adjourned until 10 to 12 . Thank you.

